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## PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: So we're prepared to proceed with the plea. For the Government's benefit, as you probably assumed, I looked at and decided to accept the stipulated order of removal provision. I did see that a number of judges -- or rather my law clerk saw that a number of judges on this Court, including Judges Collier, Hogan, Contreras and Kollar-Kotelly, have accepted similar language in the pleas.

This is, of course, an aggravated felony, correct?
MR. FRIEDMAN: Yes.
THE COURT: To which she's pleading guilty. Can you tell me, Mr. Friedman, what the order would be? At what point would I or a judge enter the actual order of removal, at the conclusion of the sentence?

MR. FRIEDMAN: Yes, I believe after imposing sentence or as part of that process of imposing sentence.

THE COURT: So do you envision me doing this at the time of sentencing or subsequently when she's released?

MR. FRIEDMAN: The United States would propose on the date of sentencing.

THE COURT: And you will have that documentation for me?

MR. FRIEDMAN: Yes.
THE COURT: So I'm informed that the Texas case
officially transferred. If you all don't have it already, that case number here in DDC is 23-cr-28.

Mr. Ohm, do we have an interpreter -- yes, we do, present? Is Ms. Ferrier going to plead with the assistance of the interpreter or use the interpreter as needed?

MR. OHM: As needed, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Ohm, I know we've discussed this before, but $I$ just -- this is an important hearing, and I want to be very certain that Ms. Ferrier's not confused in any way. Have you gone over these plea agreements with her in detail separately?

MR. OHM: Several times, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And did you have to have an interpreter in order to communicate with her for that?

MR. OHM: No, Your Honor, although I once gave her a French -- a French translation of the documents which she advised me was not particularly accurate. So I'm pretty confident about her English abilities.

THE COURT: Well, Ms. Ferrier, as we've discussed before, if at any point you're not certain of what I'm saying or the plea agreement states, I want you to let me know. But we do have an interpreter here if assistance is needed. We have a lot to cover here. I've received the Rule 20 paperwork from the Southern District of Texas, and that is all in order. And I understand that as -- is there
actually a provision in the plea agreement in which she consented to that, remind me, Mr. Friedman?

MR. FRIEDMAN: Yes.
THE COURT: You want a finding, though, that that consent was knowingly and voluntarily given, correct?

MR. FRIEDMAN: Yes. It was part of the D.C. plea agreement, and the defendant, the defense attorney and the Government have all signed the Rule 20 paperwork. The one question $I$ had, just for clerical sake, was whether Your Honor intended to call both of the cases or one at a time?

THE COURT: I do, because having read both carefully, so far as I can see, the principal difference is that the United States has not agreed to waive its right to appeal my sentence in the Texas case that was transferred here if I reject the plea and sentence Ms. Ferrier under the guidelines.

Is that correct, is that the primary substantive difference?

MR. FRIEDMAN: I think that's probably right.
THE COURT: But if either side has any concerns with me doing the pleas on both simultaneously, please let me know. I'm willing to do them separately.

MR. FRIEDMAN: No concern, I just wasn't sure whether both cases were called at this point.

DEPUTY CLERK: I didn't call the cases officially.

THE COURT: Oh, you didn't officially call, all right. Well, we will call both cases, go ahead and do that.

DEPUTY CLERK: Your Honor, calling the case of United States vs. Pascale Ferrier, docket number 23-cr-28. THE COURT: So before us now we have both cases, 20-cr-202, which is the D.C. case, and 23-cr-28, which is the case that was transferred from the Southern District of Texas.

Mr. Ohm, does the defense have any objection with me proceeding in this colloquy on both pleas simultaneously?

MR. OHM: NO.
THE COURT: Ms. Ferrier, are you okay with that as well?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: So I will do that. If at any point -because there's a lot in here, if you all think I've stated something incorrectly or missed something, please speak up, Mr. Friedman and Mr. Ohm. And Ms. Ferrier, that goes for you as well. I know you're very much on top of what's in these plea agreements. So if I can have you bring Ms. Ferrier up to the podium and have the courtroom deputy swear her in.

DEPUTY CLERK: Ms. Ferrier, please raise your right hand. Do you solemnly swear or affirm that you will well and truly answer all questions propounded to you by the

Court?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you.
THE COURT: Ms. Ferrier, I'm going to ask you to keep your voice up and speak into the microphone so that the court reporter can transcribe everything you say.

Do you understand that now that you've been placed under oath, you could be prosecuted for perjury, or for making a false statement, if you were to testify falsely today?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: I'm going to ask you a series of questions to make sure that you understand the two pleas that you're entering. And again, you've heard us talk about there's the two cases, one was transferred from the Southern District of Texas and the other's been here. I want to make sure you understand your rights, and I want to make sure that this plea is voluntary. If at any point you don't understand my questions, or if at any point you want time to talk to your attorney, please let me know and I'll give you that time to talk to him privately, all right?

THE DEFENDANT: All right.
THE COURT: It's very important in a valid plea that you understand each question before you answer. Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

THE COURT: Can you tell me what year you were born, Ms. Ferrier?

THE DEFENDANT: I was born in France on May 8th, 1967.

THE COURT: So you are not a U.S. citizen, correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Correct.

THE COURT: And you do understand that a
conviction for this offense will result in your deportation?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And I'll cover that in more depth later. We've been discussing your ability to understand the English language. You believe you can proceed speaking in English?

THE DEFENDANT: No problem.
THE COURT: Again, if you have any concerns, speak up. How far did you go in school, Ms. Ferrier?

THE DEFENDANT: I have a French engineering degree.

THE COURT: I'm sorry?
THE DEFENDANT: I have a French engineering degree.

THE COURT: Have you had any medication or any drugs or anything else in the last two days that might make
it difficult for you to follow these proceedings?
THE DEFENDANT: No, I haven't.
THE COURT: Is there any other reason it might make it difficult for you to follow these proceedings?

THE DEFENDANT: No.
THE COURT: Have you received copies of the indictments in both cases which contain the written charges against you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did.
THE COURT: And you read those?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: You understand them?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: Does counsel for either side doubt
Ms. Ferrier's competence to enter guilty pleas at this time, Mr. Ohm?

MR. OHM: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Friedman?
MR. FRIEDMAN: No.
THE COURT: Based on her responses to my questions and counsel's representations, I do find that Ms. Ferrier's fully competent and capable of entering an informed plea.

So, Ms. Ferrier, I understand that instead of going to trial, you wish to plead guilty to one count of the offense of prohibitions with respect to biological weapons
in violation of Title 18, United States Code section 175(a) in the case filed here in the District for the District of Columbia. And you also wish to plead guilty to eight counts of the offense of prohibitions with respect to biological weapons in violation, again, of Title 18, United States Code section $175(\mathrm{a})$ in the Texas case. Again, that is case 23-cr-28 which was transferred here from the Southern District of Texas; is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, but $I$ want to specify that $I$ took my time to sign these plea agreements, because plea agreements are generally designed to be unfair and iniquitous, giving the Government pernicious advantages while defendants have to waive a bunch of their fundamental rights. However, for my case, I analyzed and weighed all possible options. These plea agreements are not the best, but the least deleterious designed by the criminal injustice system.

THE COURT: I had a hard time understanding that last sentence, I'm sorry, Ms. Ferrier.

THE DEFENDANT: The last sentence?
THE COURT: Yes.
THE DEFENDANT: However, for my case, I analyzed and weighed all possible options. These plea agreements are not the best, but the least deleterious designed by the criminal injustice system.

THE COURT: These plea agreements are not the best, but they are what?

THE DEFENDANT: The least deleterious.

THE COURT: The least what?
THE DEFENDANT: Deleterious.
THE COURT: Understood. Do you have any
hesitation, as you stand here now, in proceeding with these pleas of guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: You do have hesitation?
THE DEFENDANT: No, no, no.
THE COURT: You don't?

THE DEFENDANT: No, I don't.
THE COURT: Okay. And you've carefully analyzed them and you've talked them through with your attorney in this case?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: Before we proceed, let me ask
Mr. Friedman, do the pleas to these various counts represent the most lenient plea offer made to Ms. Ferrier to date?

MR. FRIEDMAN: It's a little hard to respond. We had different discussions with Mr. Ohm and Ms. Ferrier, but I do think it's accurate to characterize the plea agreements that way, yes.

THE COURT: That these two plea agreements are, in
your view, the most lenient that the Government's offered to date?

MR. FRIEDMAN: That we have extended, yes.
THE COURT: To her. Do you agree, Mr. Ohm?
MR. OHM: Just so it doesn't seem like we're hiding the ball, the Government initially offered a range, and I believe we countered with this plea.

THE COURT: So you suggested this plea?
MR. OHM: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And Ms. Ferrier -- what's the proper pronunciation? I know you've told me before, but I've forgotten.

THE DEFENDANT: Ferrier.
THE COURT: Ferrier?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: Is that close enough?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: All right. Do I have the original plea agreements? Who has -- does someone have the originals?

MR. FRIEDMAN: I believe they were signed at different times and transmitted electronically.

THE COURT: Does somebody have -- because I've written on my copy. Does someone here have -- typically you all bring a copy, and I didn't expect the one I printed off
my computer to be the official plea agreements.
MR. FRIEDMAN: I did print both of the plea agreements and statements of offense.

THE COURT: Well, is that okay, Mr. Ohm?
MR. OHM: Sure. I have a copy, too.
THE COURT: Well, I'm going to hold up what is my copy of -- first I'll start with the D.C. plea agreement in case 20-202. I'm going to turn to the last page, which is page 15, and hold this up, Ms. Ferrier.

Is that your signature that appears on the last page, page 15 ?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is.
THE COURT: And did you read this document before signing it?

THE DEFENDANT: A lot of times.
THE COURT: A lot of times, all right. You discussed it with your lawyer as well?

THE DEFENDANT: A lot of times also.
THE COURT: And I want to do the same thing with the Texas agreement. So turning to the last page of that, that's page 17. I'm going to hold it up. Is that your signature on that agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: You also read that lots of time as well?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I noted or saw the difference about that the Government keep the right to appeal the sentencing.

THE COURT: There's not much difference except for the United States keeping the right to appeal my sentence?

THE DEFENDANT: Which is not very fair.
THE COURT: Fair enough, okay. So you've discussed both of these with Mr. Ohm and you understand them, correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: These are the agreements you wish to enter?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: Now, attached to the plea agreement in the D.C. case is a document called the statement of fact -statement of offense, rather. And in it, there are various facts that the Government could prove beyond a reasonable doubt if this case went to trial. And again, I'm going to ask you to look at page five of this document. Tell me, is that your signature?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: And you also read this document in full?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: Do you agree that what is stated here
in the statement of facts in the D.C. case, 20-202, is true and actually what occurred in this case?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: Mr. Friedman, if I could have you
summarize the facts that support the elements of the Offenses to which Ms. Ferrier is pleading guilty to today.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And if you could just be sure to speak into the microphone.

MR. FRIEDMAN: The defendant is a 55-year-old citizen of Canada and France. On March 12th, 2019, she was placed under arrest by law enforcement officers in Mission, Texas for violating a city ordinance. Law enforcement seized a loaded firearm and a false identification document from the defendant's person during the arrest. The defendant was detained in the state of Texas pending criminal charges and pursuant to an immigration detainer for approximately the next two months.

The defendant was held at three detention facilities in Texas: The Hidalgo County Adult Detention Center, the Brooks County Detention Center and the El Valle Detention Facility. The defendant was released from custody on or around May 24 th, 2019 after the criminal charges were dismissed and authorities determined that the immigration detainer was no longer justified. The defendant
subsequently returned to Canada.
The defendant resided in Quebec, Canada in
September 2020. The defendant had prepared and kept in her residence a handwritten list of eight individuals that she believed to be connected to her detention in the state of Texas in 2019, along with addresses connected to those individuals. The list of names and addresses included the chief of police of the Mission Police Department; three law enforcement officers associated with the Hidalgo County Adult Detention Center; the sheriff of Hidalgo County; the warden of the Brooks County Detention Center; the sheriff of Brooks County; and the deputy warden of the El Valle Detention Facility. The defendant's handwritten list of names and addresses also included a ninth individual, then-President Donald J. Trump with a listed address at the White House in Washington, D.C.

On around September 9th, 2020, the defendant used her social media Twitter account to express that she agreed, quote, with another Twitter user who had proposed that someone should, quote, please shoot Trump in the face. The defendant's social media posting described then-President Trump as, quote, the ugly tyrant clown, and included, quote, \#KillTrump, the hashtag used by the original poster.

In around September 2020, the defendant made homemade ricin toxin at her residence in Quebec, Canada
using ingredients including castor beans. Ricin toxin is a deadly poison made from the waste material leftover from processing castor beans. Ricin can be made in powder form. Ricin causes toxicity by preventing cells, in an exposed individual, from making proteins without which the cells die. Ricin is a toxin as that term is defined in 18 U.S.C. 175(a), and as defined in 18 U.S.C. 178(2).

In early September 2020, the defendant wrote and addressed by hand a letter to each of the nine individuals identified in paragraphs three and four above, the eight individuals that the defendant believed were connected to her detention in the state of Texas in 2019, and then-President Trump. Each letter referred to a special gift for the recipient, which was described as being in this letter. Each letter stated that: If it doesn't work, I will find a better recipe for another poison. Each letter, with the exception of the one addressed to the deputy warden of the El Valle Detention Facility, added: Or I might use my gun when I'll be able to come. Each letter accused the intended recipient of either being an ugly tyrant clown, Trump; responsible for tyrants under their command, Mission police chief, Brooks County sheriff, warden of Brooks County Detention Center, Hidalgo County sheriff; a member of a gang of tyrants; or of being a part of a dictatorship system. Each letter ended with: Enjoy.

The letter addressed to then-President Trump additionally stated: You ruined USA and lead them to discover. I have U.S. cousins. Then I don't want the next four years with you as president. Give up and remove your application for this election. The defendant placed homemade ricin toxin powder and the handwritten letter in each of the envelopes. The defendant placed a stamp on each envelope, and addressed each envelope according to the addresses on the handwritten list. The defendant mailed each envelope from Quebec, Canada to the United States.

The nine envelopes were received and opened from around September 14th through 21st, 2020 in the state of Texas and in Washington, D.C. On September 18th, 2020, the United States Secret Service notified the Federal Bureau of Investigation of the letter addressed to the White House. Special weapons of mass destruction coordinators and hazardous material experts were required to deploy to various locations where the letters were received due to the presence of the ricin toxin powder in the envelopes. After investigation, the letters were sent to a special facility in the state of Maryland for safety and for further testing.

On September 20th, 2020, the defendant drove a car from Canada to the Peace Bridge border crossing in Buffalo, New York. When asked by border officials whether she was okay, the defendant replied that she was wanted by the FBI
for the ricin letters. The defendant was in possession of a loaded firearm, hundreds of rounds of ammunition, two knives, a stun gun, pepper spray, a truncheon and a false identification document.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Friedman.
Ms. Ferrier, do you agree that the facts that Mr. Friedman, the prosecutor, stated are true?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: Mr. Friedman, if I could ask you to summarize the elements of the charges.

MR. FRIEDMAN: So each of the charges that the defendant will be pleading guilty to is a violation of 18 U.S.C. $175(\mathrm{a})$, which prescribes the knowing development, production, stockpile, transfer, acquisition, retention or possession of any biological agent, toxin or delivery system for use as a weapon. And it prescribes criminal penalties and extraterritorial federal jurisdiction for an offense under this section.

THE COURT: So the intent is knowing?
MR. FRIEDMAN: Correct.
THE COURT: Do you agree with that, Mr. Ohm?
MR. OHM: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Ms. Ferrier, do you understand the charges against you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: You understand that pursuant to these plea agreements, the Government has agreed that the D.C. U.S. Attorney's Office and the United States Attorney's Office for the Southern District of Texas will not criminally prosecute you further for the conduct that's set forth in the statement of offense.

Do you understand that?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: And that commitment just binds those offices only?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: I'm now going to go over the specific -- some of the specific terms of the plea agreement, Ms. Ferrier. I'm not going to mention every single term, but you need to understand that so long as a term is in the agreement, you're bound by it, even if I don't mention it.

Do you understand?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: The offenses that you're pleading guilty to, prohibitions with respect to biological weapons, carry a maximum sentence of life imprisonment; a maximum fine of $\$ 250,000$; a maximum term of supervised release, after any period of incarceration, of life; mandatory restitution; and obligation to pay any applicable interest
or penalties on fines or restitution not timely made.
Do you understand?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you're also agreeing to pay a special assessment of $\$ 100$ per felony conviction to the clerk of the two courts, D.C. and the Southern District of Texas?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: Actually, that's just here now, right?
MR. FRIEDMAN: Yes.
THE COURT: Just all counts to this clerk of court. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: And you understand that the Court can impose a fine that's sufficient to pay the federal government for the cost of any imprisonment or supervision?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
THE COURT: Restitution, is that an issue here, Mr. Friedman?

MR. FRIEDMAN: No.
THE COURT: Do you understand, Ms. Ferrier, that if you are sentenced to a term of imprisonment, you could be subject to a period of supervised release following your term of imprisonment?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And do you understand that that means that if you're sent to prison, then upon your release you will be on supervision subject to certain conditions and certain rules with which you must comply. And if you fail to comply, you could be sent back to prison to serve an additional amount of time for failing to comply with those conditions and rules.

Do you understand?
THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
THE COURT: And that additional amount of time, at least according to the Southern District of Texas' plea agreement, is up to five years additional imprisonment.

Do you understand that?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: And do you understand that parole has been abolished for federal charges in the United States?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, since 1987 I believe.
THE COURT: I think earlier. I think '84 or '87, I'm not sure, but it's abolished. And that means that if you're sentenced to a term of imprisonment, you'll serve the sentence I impose less a possible small reduction of approximately 54 days per year for what's called good time credit if you behave well in prison.

Do you understand that?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Also, as part of your plea agreement, you have consented to forfeit items to the U.S. Government that were seized from your person and vehicle by law enforcement on or about September 20th of 2020, including a Kel-Tec firearm, ammunition, two knives, a stun gun, pepper spray and a false identification document, among other things.

Do you understand that?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: And you've also consented to forfeit castor beans, ammunition, acetone and sodium hydroxide from your residence.

Do you understand?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: And this plea agreement, this is what they call a (c)(1)(C), a plea agreement entered pursuant to Rule $11(c)(1)(C)$ of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. Pursuant to this agreement, the Government and you, the defense, have agreed that a sentence of 262 months -- which is, I think, 21.8 years, is appropriate in this case; is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: Do you understand that this agreement that you've entered into with the United States affects only the term of incarceration, and it does not affect the term
of release or the fine the Court could impose?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that this agreement that you've entered is not binding on the Court?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
THE COURT: And has anyone promised you that I will accept this recommended sentence?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
THE COURT: Has anyone promised you that I will accept --

THE DEFENDANT: No, nobody, sorry.
THE COURT: Do you understand that I can accept the plea agreement now or I could reject the plea agreement now or I could defer a decision on whether or not to accept the plea agreement until after I have reviewed the presentence report?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: And do you understand -- as I think I've said at an earlier hearing, that $I$ will defer any decision on whether $I$ accept the plea agreement until after I've reviewed the presentence report?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand. That's also another thing that's a little unfair, because the judge can accept after, but the defendant has to accept the plea before.

THE COURT: Well, you do understand that you all
know a lot more about this case than I do at this point. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: So I know very little of what the discovery shows in this case. But once a presentence report is prepared, I will have a summary of the facts. I know what's been stated in the statement of offense. I know nothing about your background. I will learn a lot of information in that presentence report. And at that time, I will be able to make a knowing decision about whether I think this is an appropriate sentence in this case. And right now, I simply don't think I can do that based on the limited facts that I know.

Do you understand?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: I want you to understand that if I decide to reject this plea agreement, there are a couple of things that could flow from that and I want to make sure that you understand. First, the Government would then have the option to withdraw from the plea agreement and not be bound by anything in the plea agreement.

Do you understand that?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: Second, if I reject the plea agreement, you also will have the opportunity to withdraw
your guilty plea or keep it.
Do you understand that?
THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
THE COURT: And if you decide to withdraw your guilty plea and go to trial, do you understand that if you were ultimately found guilty, the final sentence imposed in the case would be up to me?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And I wouldn't be bound by the recommendation in this plea agreement at that time?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: Do you also understand that if you make the decision -- if $I$ reject the plea agreement and you decide to keep the plea and go forward, do you understand that your sentence will be within the discretion of the Court?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
THE COURT: And that the sentence could be greater than the 21.8 years, or the 262 months, that you've agreed to with the Government?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
THE COURT: And in that case, the sentencing guidelines would apply -- they do anyway, but the sentencing guidelines would apply in that case as well. So I want to review those with you now, the guidelines as set forth in
the plea agreement, all right. But to be clear, I'm not consenting now to the terms of this plea agreement.

Do you understand that the offenses to which you're pleading guilty to are subject to the U.S. sentencing guidelines?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: And I take it that you've discussed with Mr. Ohm how they might apply in this case?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: Mr. Ohm and the Government attorney have stated in the plea agreement what they estimate your guidelines sentence -- your guidelines sentencing range to be. You've discussed this with Mr. Ohm?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: So pages three through eight of the plea agreement you've entered into for the District of Columbia case explain how the guidelines might apply in this case. Specifically, the parties have agreed that the base offense level for unlawful activity involving toxins is a level 28. The parties have agreed that three enhancements apply based on the characteristics of this offense: First, a two-level enhancement for use of toxin; second, a three-level enhancement for official victim; and third, a five-level enhancement for more than five units.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: Do you also understand that the Government does agree that you would be entitled to up to a three-level reduction for acceptance of responsibility? THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: However, the parties do not agree on two additional enhancements: The first being a four-level enhancement for substantial disruption; and second, a 12-level enhancement for the federal crime of terrorism.

Do you understand that?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: So based on these estimates, your guideline offense level could be -- according to these estimates at least, at least a level 35 and as high as a level 43, which is the very top of the guideline sentencing chart down here.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have a copy of this table.
THE COURT: You're familiar with it?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: So at the maximum, level 43, your criminal history would go up to a level six based on the terrorism enhancement. And so if that were the guideline range, then your guideline range would be life. If, however, the 38 --

THE DEFENDANT: The 35.

THE COURT: I'm sorry, 38 minus three for
acceptance, the 35, you're right, would be a guideline range of 168 to 210 months in prison assuming you have no criminal history.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes. And to be sure, this range is under the proposition of the plea agreement.

THE COURT: I'm sorry?

THE DEFENDANT: I said this range for level 35 is under -- is below the proposed sentence.

THE COURT: I see, okay. But you understand that I'm not going to know what your guideline range is until after I've had a chance to review the presentence report?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I know.

THE COURT: Okay. Your estimated fine range would be $\$ 40,000$ to $\$ 400,000$, assuming a guideline level 35. But at a guideline level of 38 or above, it would be $\$ 50,000$ to $\$ 500,000$.

Do you understand that?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And my understanding is that neither party will seek any departure from the guideline range set forth in the agreement, correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And you do understand that the
sentence I decide to impose could be different from any estimate that your attorney or the Government thinks it might be?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: And do you understand that after this hearing, there will be -- you'll have an opportunity to be interviewed by the probation office officer who will prepare the presentence report for the Court?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: And you'll have an opportunity to have Mr. Ohm present if you wish?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I know.
THE COURT: And you'll also have the opportunity to challenge the facts that are reported to me by the probation officer. Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
THE COURT: And the Government will also have an opportunity to challenge those facts, too. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: And, again, do you understand that if I were to reject your plea agreement and you elect to go forward with the plea and the government elects to go forward with the plea agreement, the sentencing range is where $I$ must start in determining your sentence, but I don't
have to end there because the guidelines are not binding on me?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
THE COURT: You understand that in determining the appropriate sentence in that scenario, my obligation will be to calculate the correct guideline range, consider any possible departures under the guidelines, and consider other sentencing factors under Title 18, United States Code section 3553 (a)?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
THE COURT: And do you understand that I can sentence you anywhere within the guideline range that I find or above the range or below the range, but I can never sentence you higher than the statutory maximum, which is in this case life imprisonment?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
THE COURT: The plea agreements also specify certain rights to appeal that you're giving up today by pleading guilty. Do you understand that under the plea agreements, you are giving up all your rights to appeal your convictions of guilt in these cases, except to the extent your appeal is based on ineffective assistance of counsel?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
THE COURT: Do you understand that under the plea agreement, you're also giving up your right to directly
appeal the sentence imposed except to the extent that your appeal is based, again, on ineffective assistance of counsel or the Court sentences you above the guideline range that the Court determines?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand, but it was the part I struggled to accept.

THE COURT: That was the part you struggled to accept?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: I understand. But just to repeat, you do understand that if the court -- I am in the position of calculating the guideline range, I'm not bound by the guidelines?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
THE COURT: I'm not bound by the parties' recommendations?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
THE COURT: And I can sentence you anywhere up to life imprisonment for this offense?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
THE COURT: Do you also understand that under the plea agreements, you're giving up your right to collaterally attack either the conviction entered or the sentence imposed except to the extent your attack is based, again, on a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel or on newly discovered
evidence?
THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
THE COURT: And, again, as we've discussed, under circumstances detailed in the Southern District of Texas plea agreement, the Government may have the right to appeal the sentence that I impose in this matter?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand.

THE COURT: Do the parties agree I've correctly stated the substance of the two plea agreements, Mr. Friedman?

MR. FRIEDMAN: Yes, but it may be appropriate to also do the waiver of the trial.

THE COURT: I'm going to go through all that, but just the other stuff?

MR. FRIEDMAN: Yes.
THE COURT: Mr. Ohm?

MR. OHM: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And I agree it's definitely
appropriate to do that, but I appreciate your diplomacy.
Ms. Ferrier, is what I've stated here what you've agreed to?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I agree. I agree, but by the way, also you didn't specify that in the plea agreement we agreed to -- that the 262 months for both plea agreements would be run concurrently.

THE COURT: Concurrently, yes, thank you. I can tell you've studied these carefully. I should have mentioned that as well. According to the parties' recommendation, the recommendation of, what is it, 262 months would run concurrently in the two cases, they would not be on top of each other, you're correct.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: Thank you for that. So no one's promised you the sentence I will give you?

THE DEFENDANT: No.
THE COURT: And has anyone made any promises to you, other than the ones that are reflected in the plea agreement, in order to get you to plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Nobody.
THE COURT: Do you have any confusion or questions about the agreements at this point that you'd like to ask either me or your lawyer about?

THE DEFENDANT: None. If you reject the plea and I'm entering my plea, is it about only the sentence or also the charges?

THE COURT: Well, I would accept -- assuming we get through this plea colloquy, I would accept -- if you wanted to keep the plea to the charges, I would accept your plea to the charges, but I wouldn't be bound by the sentencing recommendation.

Does that make sense?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: But, again, if I decide to reject this plea agreement, Ms. Ferrier, we'll go again through your options, all right. I know this is a lot to think of hypothetically at this point, but you will have the option if $I$ decide not to accept this plea agreement to consider whether to rip this up completely or proceed and let the Court have the discretion to sentence you differently than the plea agreement provides, all right?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
THE COURT: You'll have time to think about that.
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, because I like to be proactive, that's why.

THE COURT: Okay, understood. So as the Government attorney pointed out, in addition to the rights to appeal that we've discussed, you will also be giving up other rights by agreeing to plead guilty to these charges today and I want to go through those with you as well.

As part of the plea agreement, first you have agreed to the entry of a stipulated judicial order of removal pursuant to Title VIII, United States Code section 1228(c) (5). And that means that you are consenting to this Court entering at the time of sentence an order of removal by this Court, and to the immediate execution of such an
order.
Do you understand that?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: Now, Mr. Friedman, the plea agreement might have said that she consents to this upon the completion of the sentence; is that right?

MR. FRIEDMAN: I believe that the removal would occur after the completion of the sentence.

THE COURT: I see, okay.
MR. FRIEDMAN: The order of removal would be issued.

THE COURT: Do you understand that?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: As part of the plea agreement, you also are agreeing to waive your right to notice and hearing prior to the entry of an order of removal; is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
THE COURT: Also, you're agreeing to waive your right to appeal or otherwise challenge the stipulated order of removal, correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: And you do understand that by entering this plea, you're agreeing to waive your rights to seek any and all forms of relief or protection from removal, deportation or exclusion under the Immigration and

Nationality Act?
THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

THE COURT: Also, do you agree that you're not -do you understand that you're not required to plead guilty, and that you have a right to go to trial on the charges contained in the indictments?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
THE COURT: And do you understand that if you went to trial, the Government would have to prove you guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, and the Government would have to convince 12 jurors to unanimously convict you?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
THE COURT: Do you understand that you'd have a right to have your lawyer present with you throughout the trial and at every other stage of the proceeding?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: And you have the right to court-appointed counsel, you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: And you understand that your lawyer can make motions, he could challenge any searches or seizures, he could move to suppress statements or evidence?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
THE COURT: You understand your lawyer could also make objections during a trial, and he could cross-examine
all of the Government's witnesses?
THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
THE COURT: You understand you'd also have the right to present a defense and put on evidence, including your own witnesses whom you could subpoena and require to testify on your behalf if you wished. But you would not be required to put on any evidence at all because you would be presumed innocent.

Do you understand that?
THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
THE COURT: You could also testify at your trial if you chose to do so. But no one could force you to testify, because you would have an absolute right to remain silent at your trial, and I would instruct a jury that they could not hold that against you.

Do you understand?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: Do you understand that unless and until I accept your guilty plea, the law presumes that you are innocent; because it is the Government's burden to prove your guilt beyond a reasonable doubt, and until it does you cannot be convicted at trial?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
THE COURT: Do you understand that if you were found guilty at a trial, you would have the right to appeal;
and that if you could not afford a lawyer on your appeal, a lawyer would be appointed to represent you?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

THE COURT: And do you understand that if you plead guilty, you will waive your right to a trial, including all the rights that I've just discussed, and there will be no trial?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: One last document I'm showing you, which is this is the waiver of trial by jury.

Do you recognize that?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: And you've signed this document?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: Now, you signed this document at a time we had only the D.C. case, 20-202, before the Court. But we now have the Texas case before it as well, you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: And I'm wondering, are you also consenting to waive your right to trial by jury in that case, 23-cr-28, as well as the D.C. case?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: Do you all want to add the other case number to this document, just for the record?

MR. OHM: Sure.
THE DEFENDANT: Anyway, the transfer is not -- is only applicable on the plea and sentencing, not for a trial.

THE COURT: No, if the trial were to be here, it would be applicable for the trial as well. Do you want time to -- I mean, my understanding is this case is transferred. I guess it could be transferred back. But this case is before me now.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Right, it is before you. I think that under the rule, if the plea were to be withdrawn or for whatever reason not go forward, the case would return to Texas for trial.

THE COURT: Oh, it would?
MR. FRIEDMAN: I believe so.
THE COURT: Okay. So what do you all suggest in terms of the waiver of trial by jury for the plea?

MR. FRIEDMAN: I think the form can have both case numbers.

MR. OHM: That's fine.
THE COURT: Let's go ahead and --
DEPUTY CLERK: Should I print another one out, Your Honor, with both of them on it?

THE COURT: No, I think it's okay so long as she acknowledges on the record. You can initial the addition of the case number.

So Ms. Ferrier, you are demonstrating again and again that you are very informed about what you're doing here today.

THE DEFENDANT: I've spent hours in the law library.

THE COURT: You were in the library?
THE DEFENDANT: In the law library I spent hours on the subject.

THE COURT: That's great. So you're not going to give Mr. Ohm credit for explaining all this that well?

MR. OHM: She's explained more to me, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Well, just to make clear, you signed that document, correct, the waiver of trial by jury?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: And for purposes of this plea, you're fine waiving that right in both cases, the D.C. case and the Texas case?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
THE COURT: And you've had enough time to talk to Mr. Ohm about it?

THE DEFENDANT: Oh, yes.
THE COURT: And research it in the law library?
THE DEFENDANT: And asking a lot of questions and sending a lot of comments to Mr. Ohm.

THE COURT: You don't want any more time, you're
confident you want to waive the right --
THE DEFENDANT: It's enough, I need to move on now.

THE COURT: All right. So on the Texas case, just to cover that, you're waiving any challenge to venue in the District of Columbia?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And that's for the Texas case as well
as the D.C. case?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: And I see here there's another piece of paper that has your signature on it where you've consented in writing to the transfer of what was case number 20-cr-861 in the Southern District of Texas, which has now become 23-cr-28 here in the District of Columbia. And you've given your consent to the transfer to the District of Columbia for purposes of the plea and the sentencing, if the Court accepts the plea. And that's pursuant to Rule 20 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: And you knowingly and voluntarily waived that right when you signed that document?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes. By the way, in the D.C. plea for the concurrent sentence, it's written the case number of Texas.

THE COURT: Of Texas?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: In the consent to transfer?
THE DEFENDANT: No, in the plea.
THE COURT: Oh, the D.C. plea agreement. Well, I guess at that time when the agreement was signed, that was the case number. I think that that's fine for now, it's the same case. But thank you for pointing that out.

THE DEFENDANT: Just to be sure.
THE COURT: I mean, you all can -- do you want to add the case number, Mr. Friedman, to the plea agreement as well? Mr. Ferrier pointed out that the plea agreement has the Texas number.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Yes, I think it's clear that that case has now become $23-\mathrm{cr}-28$ here.

THE COURT: You don't dispute that?
THE DEFENDANT: No, it's okay, it's just for legal --

THE COURT: I appreciate your meticulousness, it's helpful to the Court. Ms. Ferrier, do you understand that if I accept your plea, you may be deprived of valuable civil rights such as -- well, many of these won't apply to you anyway because you're not an U.S. citizen. But in an abundance of caution, you may be deprived of the right to vote, the right to hold certain jobs, the right to serve on
a jury, and the right to possess any kind of firearm or ammunition depending on various state, local and federal laws.

Do you understand that?
THE DEFENDANT: I understand, but it's not applicable.

THE COURT: Fair enough. Understanding all the rights that you're giving up in agreeing to plead guilty, do you still want to plead guilty in both of these cases?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: Do you have any questions about the rights you're giving up or anything else connected with these pleas of guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: No, no.
THE COURT: Have you had enough time to talk with Mr. Ohm, your lawyer, about the charges against you, the plea and the rights you're giving up by pleading guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: I think -- yeah.
THE COURT: Yes?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: Are you satisfied with his services as your lawyer in this case?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: You didn't have to go to the library because he wasn't capable to answer your questions?

THE DEFENDANT: No, but he has a lot -- I have a lot of time, he's very busy.

THE COURT: But you're happy --
THE DEFENDANT: So I'm saving time.
THE COURT: You're happy with the advice he's given you?

THE DEFENDANT: I am happy.
THE COURT: You didn't find any errors in his advice in the law library?

THE DEFENDANT: No.
THE COURT: Ms. Ferrier, are you pleading guilty because you are in fact guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: Has anyone forced or threatened you to get you to plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: No.
THE COURT: First let me ask you, how do you plead on the eight counts of prohibitions with respect to biological weapons in violation of Title 18, United States Code section $175(\mathrm{a})$ in the Southern District of Texas case, case 20-861 that's now 23-28: Do you plead guilty to those eight counts or not guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.
THE COURT: Mr. Friedman.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Just one -- I'm not sure that

Ms. Ferrier has been arraigned on those charges.
THE COURT: Oh, okay.
MR. FRIEDMAN: It may be best to just do that briefly as well.

THE DEFENDANT: When I signed the transfer, it's written $I$ realized in the indictment, so it's --

THE COURT: Oh, you did, you think you were arraigned then. Well, let me make sure. You were given a copy of the indictment in the Southern District of Texas case?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: And you read that?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: And for purposes of this plea and the arraignment that may or may not have happened, do you waive formal reading of the indictment?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: And at this time, are you prepared to enter a plea to the charges contained in that indictment?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: Is that sufficient, Mr. Friedman?
MR. FRIEDMAN: Yes, to counts one through eight of the indictment.

THE COURT: So how do you plead on counts one through eight of that indictment in criminal case 23-cr-28:

Guilty or not guilty?
THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.
THE COURT: Now, on the other indictment in case 20-cr-202, which is the District of Columbia case that you have been arraigned on already, how do you plead on the count -- the single count, which is count three of the superseding indictment -- which, again, you were arraigned on, correct, Mr. Friedman, we did do the arraignment on the superseding?

MR. FRIEDMAN: Yes.
THE COURT: So how do you plead, Ms. Ferrier, on count three of the superseding indictment charging you with prohibitions with respect to biological weapons in violation of Title 18, United States Code section 175(a): Guilty or not guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.
THE COURT: I'm satisfied that Ms. Ferrier understands her rights and what she is waiving in agreeing to plead guilty. I find she has entered her plea voluntarily. I find there's a factual basis for her plea. I therefore accept her plea in both cases, and I find her guilty of the eight counts in the indictment that was initially filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, now case $23-\mathrm{cr}-28$, all of which charge prohibitions with respect to biological weapons in
violation of Title 18, United States Code section 175(a).
And I also find her guilty of count three of the superseding indictment in case number $20-\mathrm{cr}$-- have I been saying the wrong case number for D.C.? The D.C. case number is 20-202. To the extent I've said the 20-cr-861 case previously, that's wrong, that's the Southern District of Texas case number. But $I$ do find her guilty in case 20-cr-202, the District of Columbia case, of count three of that superseding indictment.

Did I state everything, Mr. Friedman?
MR. FRIEDMAN: I believe so.
THE COURT: Mr. Ohm?
MR. OHM: Yes.
THE COURT: So as I said, Ms. Ferrier, the next step will be for the probation office to prepare a presentence report for the Court, and you to be interviewed if you wish before that time with Mr. Ohm present.

Do you have any questions?
MR. OHM: Your Honor, for the presentence report, could the transmission to probation note that we're requesting an in-person presentence interview? It is a lot easier to communicate with her in-person.

THE COURT: Yes, of course, I think so given the gravity of the charges and her ability to communicate and be understood. So that does need to be an in-person interview.

She's in CTF, right?
MR. OHM: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: So that shouldn't be a problem.
Anything else, Mr. Friedman, at this time?
MR. FRIEDMAN: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Ohm?
MR. FRIEDMAN: Other than a date for sentencing.
THE COURT: Mr. Ohm?

MR. OHM: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Did you all talk about a potential date?

MR. FRIEDMAN: No.
MR. OHM: No.
THE COURT: Well, let's make sure probation has sufficient time. What would be 90 days out?

DEPUTY CLERK: The middle of April -- actually, the end of April it looks like.

MR. OHM: Can we request a Wednesday, Mr. Hopkins?
DEPUTY CLERK: Absolutely.
THE COURT: You are requesting a Wednesday?
MR. OHM: Please.
THE COURT: And what's the Wednesday the last week of April?

DEPUTY CLERK: The 26th, Your Honor.
THE COURT: How does 10:00 a.m. on the 26 th of
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April work?
MR. OHM: Well, Your Honor.
MR. FRIEDMAN: Yes.
THE COURT: As you all both know, there's a ton of trials being set. If I end up having a conflict for some reason because it's the only date two attorneys can try a case where there's a desire for Speedy Trial, then we'll let you know if we have to move that date.

But Ms. Ferrier, there's a lot of trials going on, so that date could have to be moved. I'll try to protect it, but I can't guarantee that. So we'll return on the 28 th of April at 10:00 a.m. --

DEPUTY CLERK: The 26th.
THE COURT: The 26th of April at 10:00 a.m. Anything else?

DEPUTY CLERK: Yes, sentencing memorandum, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes, thank you. Let me ask for sentencing memoranda two weeks prior to that time. Will that give -- what date do you think the PSR would be completed, the final?

DEPUTY CLERK: It usually takes them about 70 days, so we're looking at -- it would be around that week, Your Honor. It would be around the week of the 10th.

THE COURT: Of April?

DEPUTY CLERK: Yes, that the PSR would be finished.

THE COURT: So that's not giving them enough time.
DEPUTY CLERK: Should we move the sentencing out
further?
THE COURT: Do we have anything the first week of May?

DEPUTY CLERK: Yes, right now we have trial.
THE COURT: The courtroom deputy informs me that the PSR will be available probably around April 10th. How much time do you all think you need to prepare sentencing memoranda after you receive the PSR? I know it's hard to know until you know what it says.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Maybe the memos could be due the --
THE COURT: Normally I do a week ahead of time. I'm just -- you know, if I'm jammed with other things, I may need to, when I get your memos, ask for more time, so just understand that. So would giving you until the 17th be adequate time?

MR. OHM: Yes.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Yes.
THE COURT: If there's a need to respond to the
other side, can you do that by like COB Friday?
MR. OHM: Can we do non-COB Friday?
THE COURT: Well, how about Friday?

MR. OHM: Thank you.
THE COURT: A fun Friday night. I just want the chance to look at it all the weekend before. And if you all for whatever reason need more time, let me know and we'll push this back into May.

Thank you everyone.
(Proceedings adjourned at 11:48 a.m.)
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| \$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 2023 & {[1]} \\ 20530 & 1 / 6 \\ {[1]} & 1 / 15 \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 52 / 5 \\ & \text { above-entitled [1] } \end{aligned}\right.$ | agree $[11]$ <br> $13 / 25$ $11 / 4$ <br> $18 / 6$ $18 / 21$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$100 [1] 20/5 | 20th [2] 17/22 22/4 | above-entitled [1] | 27/3 27/6 32/8 |
| \$250,000 [1] 19/23 | 21.8 [2] 22/20 | absolute [1] 37/13 | $\begin{array}{llll}32 / 18 & 32 / 22 \quad 32 / 22\end{array}$ |
| \$40,000 [1] 28/16 | 25/19 | Absolutely [1] | 36/3 |
| \$400,000 [1] 28/16 | 210 [1] 28/3 | 48/19 | agreed [10] 4/13 |
| \$50,000 [1] 28/17 | 21st [1] 17/12 | abundance [1] 42/24 | 15/18 19/2 22/19 |
| \$500,000 [1] 28/18 | 23-28 [1] 44/21 | accept [17] 2/4 | 25/19 $26 / 18$ 26/20 |
| ' | 23-cr-28 [9] 3/2 | 23/7 23/10 $23 / 12$ | $32 / 2132 / 2434 / 21$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{llllll}5 / 4 & 5 / 6 & 9 / 7 & 38 / 22\end{array}$ | 23/14 23/20 23/23 | agreeing [7] 20/5 |
| '84 [1] 21/18 | 41/15 42/15 45/25 | 23/24 $31 / 6 \quad 31 / 8$ | 34/18 $35 / 15$ 35/18 |
| '87 [1] 21/18 | 46/24 | 33/21 $33 / 22 \quad 33 / 23$ | 35/23 43/8 46/18 |
| 0 | 24th [1] 14/23 | 34/7 37/19 42/21 | agreement [49] 1/9 |
| 0028 |  | 46/21 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ [2] $27 / 4$ | $\begin{array}{llll}3 / 21 & 4 / 1 & 4 / 7 & 12 / 7 \\ 12 / 20 & 12 / 22 & 13 / 14\end{array}$ |
| 0202 [1] 1/4 | 262/24 33/4 | $\underset{\text { acceptance [2] 27/2 }}{ }$ | $\begin{array}{lll}19 / 14 & 19 / 16 & 21 / 12\end{array}$ |
| 1 | 26th [4] 48/24 | accepted [1] 2/8 | 22/1 22/15 22/16 |
| 1 | 48/25 49/13 49/14 | accepts [1] 41/18 | 22/18 $22 / 23$ 23/3 |
| 10:00 a.m [3] 48/25 | 28 [11] 3/2 5/4 5/6 | according [4] $17 / 8$ | $\begin{array}{lll}\text { 23/13 } & 23 / 13 & 23 / 15\end{array}$ |
| 49/12 49/14 | 9/7 26/20 38/22 | 21/11 27/13 33/3 | 23/20 $24 / 17 \quad 24 / 20$ |
| 10:49 [1] 1/7 | $41 / 15$ 42/15 44/21 | account [1] 15/18 | 24/21 24/25 25/10 |
| 10th [2] 49/24 | 45/25 46/24 | accurate [2] 3/17 | 25/13 26/1 $26 / 2$ |
| 50/10 | 28th [1] 49/11 | 10/23 | 26/11 $26 / 16$ 28/7 |
| 11. [1] 22/17 7 | 3 | accused [1] 16/19 | 28/23 29/22 $29 / 24$ |
| 11:48 a.m [1] 51/7 | 3 | acetone [1] 22/11 | $\begin{array}{llll}30 / 25 & 32 / 5 & 32 / 23\end{array}$ |
| 12 [1] 36/11 ${ }^{12} / 9$ | 333 [1] 1/24 $27 / 25$ | acknowledges [1] | $\begin{array}{lllll}33 / 13 & 34 / 4 & 34 / 7\end{array}$ |
| 12-7evel [1] 27/9 | 35 [5] 27/14 27/25 | 39/24 | 34/10 34/20 35/4 |
| 1228 [1] 34/23 | 28/2 28/9 28/16 | acquisition [1] | 35/14 42/5 42/6 |
| $\begin{array}{lll}12 \text { th } & \text { [1] } & 14 / 11 \\ 14 \text { th } & 17\end{array}$ | 3553 [1] 30/9 | 18/14 | 42/11 42/12 |
|  | 38 [3] 27/24 28/1 | Act [1] 36/1 | agreements [20] |
| 15 [2] 12/9 12/11 | 28/17 | Action [1] 1/4 | 3/10 5/20 9/10 9/11 |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 168 \\ 17 & {[1]} \\ \text { [1] } & 28 / 3 \\ 12 / 21 \end{array}$ | 4 | activity [1] 26/19 | 9/15 $9 / 23$ 10/1 |
| 175 [7] 9/1 9/6 | 43 [2] 27/15 27/20 | actual ${ }^{\text {actualy }}$ [1] $2 / 14 / 1$ | $\begin{array}{lll}10 / 23 & 10 / 25 & 11 / 19\end{array}$ |
| 16/7 18/13 44/20 | 4700-C [1] 1/24 | actua  <br> $14 / 2$ $20 / 9$ <br> $18 / 16$  | $\begin{array}{lll}12 / 1 & 12 / 313 / 11 \\ 19 / 2 & 30 / 2\end{array}$ |
| 46/14 47/1 |  | add [2] 38/24 42/11 | $\begin{array}{lllll}31 / 22 & 32 / 9 & 32 / 24\end{array}$ |
| 178 [1] 16/7 | 5 | added [1] $16 / 18$ | 33/16 |
| 17th [1] 50/18 | 54 [1] 21/22 | addition [2] 34/16 | ahead [3] 5/2 39/20 |
| 18 [9] 9/1 9/5 16/6 | 55-year-old [1] | 39/24 | 50/15 [3] |
| 16/7 18/12 30/8 | 14/10 | additional [4] 21/6 | along [1] 15/6 |
| 44/19 46/14 47/1 | $\begin{array}{llll}550 & {[1]} & 1 / 17\end{array}$ | $21 / 10 \quad 21 / 12 \quad 27 / 7$ | although [1] 3/15 |
| 18th [1] 17/13 | 555 [1] 1/14 | additionally [1] | AMERICA [1] $1 / 3$ |
| $\begin{array}{lll} 1967 & {[1]} & 7 / 5 \\ 1987 & {[1]} & 21 /] \end{array}$ | 6 | 17/2 | ammunition [4] 18/2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1987[1] \\ & 1: 20-\mathrm{cr}-0202 \end{aligned}{ }^{21 / 17}$ | 625 [1] | address [1] 15/15 | 22/5 22/11 43/2 |
| 1/4 | 625  <br> 7  | addressed [5] $16 / 17$ 17/1 $17 / 8$ | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { among [1] } \\ \text { amount } & 22 / 6 \\ 21 / 6\end{array}$ |
| 1:23-cr-0028 [1] | 7 | 17/15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { amount } \\ & 21 / 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1/5 | 70 [1] 49/22 | addresses [4] 15/6 | analyzed [3] 9/14 |
| 2 | 8 | 15/7 15/14 17/9 | 9/22 10/14 |
| 20 [3] 3/24 4/8 | 861 [3] 41/14 44/21 | adequate [1] 50/19 | appeal [13] $4 / 14$ |
| 41/18 ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ | 47/5 | adjourned [1] 51/7 | $\begin{array}{llll}13 / 20 & 13 / 5 & 30 / 18 \\ 30 / 22 & 31 / 1\end{array}$ |
| 20-202 [4] 12/8 | 8th [1] 7/4 | $15 / 10$ | $\begin{array}{lllll} & 31 / 2 & 32 / 5 & 34 / 17\end{array}$ |
| 14/1 38/16 47/4 | 9 | advantages [1] 9/12 | 35/19 37/25 38/1 |
| 20-861 [1] 44/21 |  | advice [2] 44/5 | APPEARANCES [1] |
| $\left[\begin{array}{ll} 20-\mathrm{cr}[1] & 47 / 3 \\ 20-\mathrm{cr}-202 & {[3]} \\ 5 / 6 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 90 \\ 9 \text { [1] } & {[1]} \\ {[1]} \end{array} \quad 15 / 15$ | $44 / 9$ | $1 / 12$ |
| 46/4 47/7 | A | $\begin{array}{lll}\text { advised } & \text { [1] } & 3 / 17 \\ \text { affect }\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { appears } \\ & \text { applicable }[1] \end{aligned}$ |
| 20-cr-861 [2] 41/14 |  | affects [1] 22/24 | applicable $19 / 2539 / 5$ |
| 47/5 | a.m [5] 1/7 48/25 |  | 43/6 |
| 20001 [1] 1/25 | 49/12 49/14 51/7 | afford [1] $38 / 1$ |  |
| 20004 [1] 1/18 | abilities [1] 3/18 | again [14] $6 / 14$ | $\operatorname{app}_{17 / 5}$ |
| 2019 [4] 14/11 | ability [2] 7/13 |  | apply [6] 25/23 |
| 14/23 15/6 16/12 | 47/24 | 29/21 $31 / 2 \quad 31 / 24$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 25 / 24 & 26 / 8 & 26 / 17 \end{array}$ |
| 202 [7] 5/6 12/8 | able [2] 16/19 | $32 / 3 \quad 34 / 3 \quad 34 / 440 / 1$ | $26 / 2142 / 22$ |
|  | 24/10 | 40/2 46/7 | appointed [2] 36/18 |
| 47/4 47/7 15/3 15/17 | abolished [2] 21/16 |  | 38/2 |
|  | 21/19 | $18 / 24 \quad 37 / 15 \quad 43 / 16$ |  |
| $\begin{array}{lll} 15 / 24 & 16 / 8 & 17 / 12 \\ 17 / 13 & 17 / 22 & 22 / 4 \end{array}$ | above [5] $\quad 16 / 10$ | agent [1] 18/15 | 32/19 42/19 |


| A | $\begin{aligned} & \text { beyond } \begin{array}{l} {[3]} \\ 36 / 10 / 17 \\ 37 / 21 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 14 / 21 & 15 / 10 & 15 / 11 \\ 16 / 23 \end{array}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { concerns } \\ 7 / 17}}{ }$ [2] $4 / 20$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| appropriate...ic [5] | binding [2] 23/4 | certain [6] 3/9 | conclusion [1] 2/15 |
| 22/20 24/11 30/5 | 30/1 | $3 / 20$ 21/3 21/4 | concurrent [1] |
| 32/11 32/19 | binds [1] 19/9 | 30/18 42/25 | 11/24 |
| approximately [2] | biological [7] 8/25 |  |  |
| 14/18 21/22 | $\begin{array}{lll}9 / 4 & 18 / 15 \quad 19 / 21\end{array}$ | challenge [5] 29/14 | $32 / 25.33 / 133 / 5$ |
| Apri1 [8] 48/ | 44/19 46/13 46/25 | 29/18 $35 / 19$ 36/21 | conditions [2] 21/3 |
| 48/17 48/23 49/1 | border [2] 17/23 | 41/5 | 21/7 |
| 49/12 49/14 49/25 | 17/24 | chance [2] 28/13 | conduct [1 |
| 50/10 | born [2] 7/3 7/4 | 51/3 | confident [2] 3/18 |
| Apri1 10th | both [17] 4/10 4/11 | characteristics [1] |  |
| 0/10 | $4 / 214 / 24 \quad 5 / 2 \quad 5 / 5$ | 26/21 | conflict [1] 49/5 |
| around [7] 14/23 | $\begin{array}{lllllllll}5 / 10 & 8 / 7 & 12 / 2 & 13 / 8\end{array}$ | characterize [1] | confused [1] 3/9 |
| 15/17 15/24 17/12 | 32/24 39/17 39/22 | 10/23 | confusion [1] 33/15 |
| 49/23 49/24 50/10 | 40/16 43/9 46/21 | charge [1] 46/25 | connected [4] 15/5 |
| arraigned [4] 45/1 | 49/4 | charges [16] 8/7 | 15/6 16/11 43/12 |
| 45/8 46/5 46/7 | bound [6] 19/16 | $\begin{array}{llll}14 / 17 & 14 / 23 & 18 / 10\end{array}$ | consent [3] 4/5 |
| arraignment [2] | 24/21 $25 / 9 \quad 31 / 12$ | 18/11 18/24 $21 / 16$ | 41/16 42/3 |
| 45/15 46/8 | 31/15 33/24 | $\begin{array}{llll}33 / 20 & 33 / 23 & 33 / 24\end{array}$ | consented [4] 4/2 |
| arrest [2] 14/12 | Bridge [1] 17/23 | $34 / 18$ 36/5 43/16 | 22/2 22/10 41/13 |
| 14/15 | briefly [1] 45/4 | 45/1 45/19 47/24 | consenting [3] 26/2 |
| assessment [1] 20/5 | bring [2] 5/20 | charging [1] 46/12 | 34/23 38/21 |
| assistance [5] 3/4 | 11/25 | chart [1] 27/16 | consents [1] 35/5 |
| $3 / 22$ 30/22 31/2 | Brooks [5] 14/21 | chief [2] 15/8 | consider [3] 30/6 |
| 31/25 | 15/11 15/12 16/22 | 16/22 | 30/7 34 |
| associated [1] 15/9 | 16/22 | chose [1] 37/12 | Constitution [1] |
| assumed [1] 2/4 | Buffalo [1] 17 | circumstances [1] | 1/24 |
| assuming [3] 28/3 | bunch [1] 9/13 | 32/4 | contain |
| 28/16 33/21 | burden [1] 37/20 | citizen [3] 7/6 | contained [2] 36/6 |
| attached [1] 13/14 | Bureau [1] 17/14 | 14/11 42/23 | 45/19 |
| attack [2] 31/23 | busy [1] 44/2 | city [1] 14/13 | Contreras [1] 2/7 |
| 31/24 | C | civil [1] 42/21 | convict [1] 36 |
| attorney [6] 4/7 |  | claim [1] 31/24 | convicted [1] 37/22 |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 6 / 20 & 10 / 15 \\ 29 / 2 & 34 / 16 \end{array}$ | calculate [1] calculating [1] | $\begin{gathered} \text { clear }[3] \quad 26 / 1 \\ 40 / 1242 / 14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { conviction }[3] \quad 7 / 10 \\ & 20 / 631 / 23 \end{aligned}$ |
| Attorney's [2] 19/3 | 31/12 | clerical [1] | convictions [1] |
| 19/3 | ca11 [5] 4/10 4/25 | clerk [3] 2/6 20/ | 30/21 |
| attorneys [1] 49/6 | 5/1 5/2 22/16 | 20/11 | convince [1] 36/11 |
| thorities [1] | called [3] 4/24 | close [1] 11/16 | coordinators [1] |
| 14/24 | 13/15 21/22 | clown [2] 15/22 | 17/16 |
| available [1] 50/10 | calling [1] 5/3 | 16/20 | copies [1] 8/6 |
| Avenue [2] 1/17 | can [23] 2/12 4/12 | COB [2] 50/23 50/24 | copy [6] 11/24 |
| 1/24 | 5/20 6/6 7/2 7/14 | Code [7] 9/1 9/5 | 11/25 12/5 12/7 |
| B | 16/3 20/14 23/12 | 30/8 34/22 44/20 | 27/17 45/9 |
|  | $\begin{array}{lll}23 / 23 & 24 / 12 & 30 / 11\end{array}$ | 46/14 47/1 | correctly [1] 32/8 |
| back [3] 21/5 39/7 | $30 / 13$ 31/18 $33 / 1$ | collaterally [1] | cost [1] 20/16 |
| 5ackround [1] 24/8 | 36/21 39/17 39/24 | 31/22 | counse] [5] 8/14 |
| background [1] ${ }_{\text {bal1 }}$ [1] 11/6 | 42/10 48/18 49/6 | Collier [1] 2/7 | $30 / 2231 / 2 \quad 31 / 25$ |
| bal1 [1] 11/6 | 50/23 50/24 | colloquy [2] 5/10 | 36/ |
| Bankruptcy [1] 1/23 | Canada [6] 14/11 | 33/22 | counsel's [1] 8/21 |
| base [1] 26/18 | 15/1 15/2 15/25 | COLUMBIA [8] | count [7] 8/24 46/6 |
| based [8] 8/20 | 17/10 17/23 | 9/3 26/17 $41 / 6$ | 46/6 46/6 46/12 |
| 24/12 $26 / 21$ 27/12 | capable [2] 8/22 | 41/15 41/17 46/4 | 47/2 47/8 |
| 27/21 30/22 31/2 | 43/25 | 47/8 | countered [1] 11/7 |
| 31/24 | car [1] 17/22 | command [1] 16/21 | counts [8] 9/3 |
| basis [1] 46/20 | carefully [3] 4/12 | comments [1] 40/24 | 10/19 20/11 44/18 |
| be run [1] 32/25 | 10/14 33 | commitment [1] 19/9 | 44/22 45/22 45/24 |
| beans [3] 16/1 16/3 | carry [1] 19/22 | communi cate | 46/22 |
| 22/11 | case [65 | 3/14 47/22 47/24 | County [9] 14/20 |
| become [2] 41/15 | cases [12] 4/10 | competence [1] 8/15 | 14/21 15/9 15/10 |
| 42/15 | 4/24 4/25 5/2 5/5 | competent [1] 8/22 | 15/11 15/12 16/22 |
| behalf [1] 37/6 | 6/15 8/7 30/21 33/5 | completed [1] 49/21 | 16/22 16/23 |
| behave [1] 21/23 | 40/16 43/9 46/21 | completely [1] 34/8 | couple [1] 24/17 |
| below [2] 28/10 | castor [3] 16/1 | completion [2] 35/6 | course [2] 2/10 |
| 30/13 | 16/3 22/11 | 35/8 | 47/23 |
| benefit [1] 2/3 | causes [1] 16 | comply [3] 21/4 | court [25] 1/1 1/22 |
| best [4] $9 / 15$ 9/24 | caution [1] 42/24 | 21/5 21 |  |
| 10/2 $45 / 3$ | ce11s [2] 16/4 16/5 | computer [1] 12/1 | $20 / 12 \quad 20 / 14 \quad 23 / 1$ |
| better [1] 16/16 | Center [5] 14/21 | concern [1] 4/23 | 23/4 $25 / 16 \quad 29 / 8$ |


| C | defendant's [3] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { discovery [1] } \\ & \text { discretion [2] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { engineering [2] } \\ & 7 / 197 / 22 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| court... [13] 31/3 | defendants [1] 9/13 | 25/15 34/9 | English [3] 3/18 |
| $31 / 4$ 31/11 34/9 | defense [4] 4/7 5/9 | discussed [9] 3/7 | 7/14 7/15 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}34 / 24 & 34 / 25 & 36 / 18\end{array}$ | 22/19 37/4 | $\begin{array}{lll}3 / 19 & 12 / 17 & 13 / 8\end{array}$ | enhancement [6] |
| 38/16 $41 / 18$ 42/20 | defer [2] 23/14 | 26/7 $26 / 13$ 32/3 | 26/22 26/23 26/24 |
| 46/23 47/16 52/3 | 23/19 | 34/17 38/6 | 27/8 27/9 27/22 |
| court-appointed [1] 36/18 | defined [2] 16/6 | discussing [1] 7/13 discussions [1] | enhancements [2] 26/20 27/7 |
| courtroom [2] 5/21 | definitely [1] | 10/22 | Enjoy [1] 16/25 |
| 50/9 | 32/18 | dismissed [1] 14/24 | enough [7] 11/16 |
| courts [2] 1/23 | degree [2] 7/20 | dispute [1] $42 / 16$ | 13/7 40/19 41/2 |
|  | 7/23 | disruption [1] 27/8 | 43/7 43/15 50/3 |
| cousins [1] 17/3 | deleterious [4] | DISTRICT [26] 1/1 | enter [4] 2/14 8/15 |
| cover [3] 3/23 7/12 | 9/16 9/24 10/3 10/5 | $\begin{array}{lllll}1 / 1 & 1 / 10 & 1 / 23 & 3 / 24\end{array}$ | 13/12 45/19 |
| 41/5 | delivery [1] 18/15 | $\begin{array}{llll}5 / 7 & 6 / 16 & 9 / 2 & 9 / 2\end{array}$ | entered [6] 22/16 |
| cr [17] $1 / 41 / 5 \quad 3 / 2$ | demonstrating [1] | $\begin{array}{lllll}9 / 8 & 19 / 4 & 20 / 7 & 21 / 11\end{array}$ | 22/24 23/4 $26 / 16$ |
| 5/4 5/6 5/6 9/7 | 40/1 | 26/16 32/4 41/6 | 31/23 46/19 |
| 38/22 41/14 41/15 | Department [1] 15/8 | 41/14 41/15 41/16 | entering [5] 6/14 |
| 42/15 45/25 46/4 | departure [1] 28/22 | 44/20 45/9 46/4 | 8/22 33/19 34/24 |
| 46/24 47/3 47/5 | departures [1] 30/7 | 46/23 46/24 47/6 | 35/22 |
| 47/7 | depending [1] 43/2 | 47/8 | entitled [2] 27/3 |
| credit [2] 21/23 | deploy [1] 17/17 | docket [1 | 52/5 |
| 40/10 | deportation [2] | document [13] 12/13 | entry [2] 34/21 |
| crime [1] 27/9 | 7/10 35/25 | 13/15 13/19 13/22 |  |
| criminal [11] 1/4 | deprived [2] 42/21 | 14/14 18/4 22/6 | envelope [3] 17/8 |
| 9/16 9/25 14/17 | 42/24 | 38/9 38/13 38/15 | 17/8 17/10 |
| 14/23 18/16 22/17 | depth [1] 7/12 | 38/25 40/13 41/22 | envelopes [3] 17/7 |
| 27/21 28/3 41/19 | deputy [4] 5/21 | documentation [1] | 17/11 17/19 |
| 45/25 | 15/12 16/17 50/9 | 2/22 | envision [1] 2/18 |
| criminally [1] 19/5 | described [2] 15/21 | documents [1] 3/16 | errors [1] 44/8 |
| cross [1] 36/25 | 16/14 | Donald [1] 15/15 | estimate [2] 26/11 |
| cross-examine [1] | designed [3] 9/11 | doubt [4] 8/14 | 29/2 |
| 36/25 | 9/16 9/24 | 13/18 36/10 37/21 | estimated [1] 28/15 |
| crossing [1] 17/23 | desire [1] 49/7 | down [1] 27/16 | estimates [2] 27/12 |
| CTF [1] 48/1 | destruction [1] | drove [1] 17/22 | 27/14 |
| custody [1] 14/22 | 17/ | drugs [1] | EUGENE |
| D | detail [1] 3/11 | due [2] 17/18 50/14 | even [1] 19 |
|  | detailed [1] 32/4 | during [2] 14/15 | everyone [1] 51/6 |
| D.C. [17] 4 4/6 5/6 | detained [1] 14/16 | 36/25 | evidence [4] 32/1 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}12 / 7 & 13 / 15 & 14 / 1 \\ 15 / 16 & 17 / 13 & 19 / 2\end{array}$ | detainer [2] 14/17 | E | 36/22 37/4 37/7 |
| 15/16 $17 / 13$ 19/2 |  | E | examine [1] 36/25 |
| 20/6 38/16 38/22 | detention [11] | earlier [2] 21/18 | except [4] 13/4 |
| 40/16 41/9 41/23 | 14/19 14/20 14/21 | 23/19 | 30/21 31/1 31/24 |
| 42/5 47/4 47/4 | 14/22 15/5 15/10 | early [1] 16/8 | exception [1] 16/17 |
| DABNEY [1] 1/10 | $\begin{array}{llll}15 / 11 & 15 / 13 & 16 / 12\end{array}$ | easier [1] 47/22 | exclusion [1] 35/25 |
| date [10] 2/21 | 16/18 16/23 | eight [9] 9/3 15/4 | execution [1] 34/25 |
| 10/20 11/2 48/7 | determined [1] | 16/10 26/15 44/18 | expect [1] 11/25 |
| 48/11 49/6 49/8 | 14/24 | 44/22 45/22 45/25 | experts [1] 17/17 |
| 49/10 49/20 52/10 | determines [1] 31/4 | 46/22 | explain [1] 26/17 |
| days [4] 7/25 21/22 | determining [2] | either [5] 4/20 | explained [1] 40/11 |
| 48/15 49/23 | 29/25 30/4 | 8/14 16/20 31/23 | explaining [1] |
| DC [6] 1/6 1/14 | development | 33/17 | 40/10 |
| 1/15 1/17 1/18 1/25 | 18/13 | E1 [3] 14/21 15/12 | exposed [1] 16/4 |
| DDC [1] 3/2 | dictatorship [1] | 16/18 | express [1] 15/18 |
| deadly [1] 16/2 | 16/24 | elect [1] 29/22 | extended [1] 11/3 |
| decide [6] $64 / 17$ | die [1] 16/6 | election [1] 17/5 | extent [4] 30/21 |
|  | difference [4] 4/12 | electronically [1] | 31/1 31/24 47/5 |
| 34/3 34/7 | 4/18 $13 / 1 \quad 13 / 4$ | 11/22 | extraterritorial [1] |
| decided [1] 2/4 | different [3] 10/22 | elects [1] 29/23 | 18/17 |
| decision [4] 23/14 |  | elements [2] 14/5 |  |
| 23/20 24/10 25/13 | differently [1] | 18/ | F |
| defendant [22] 1/7 | 34/9 | e1se [4] 7/25 43/12 | face [1] 15/20 |
| 1/16 4/7 14/10 | difficult [2] 8/1 | 48/4 49/ | facilities [1] |
| 14/16 14/19 14/22 | 8/4 | end [3] 30/1 48/17 | 14/20 |
| 14/25 15/2 $15 / 3$ | diplomacy [1] 32/19 | 49/5 | facility [4] 14/22 |
| 15/17 $15 / 2416 / 8$ | directly [1] 30/25 | ended [1] 16/25 | 15/13 16/18 17/20 |
| 16/11 17/5 17/7 | discover [1] 17/3 | enforcement [4] | fact [2] 13/15 |
| 17/9 17/22 17/25 | discovered [1] | 14/12 14/13 15/9 | 44/1 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}18 / 1 & 18 / 12 & 23 / 24\end{array}$ | 31/25 | $22 / 4$ | factors [1] 30/8 |
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| F | forma1 $[1]$ $45 / 16$ <br> forms $[1]$ $35 / 24$ | $31 / 12$ <br> guidelines | hundreds [1] 18/2 hydroxide [1] 22/11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| facts [8] 13/17 | forth [3] 19/6 | 4/16 25/23 25/24 | hypothetically [1] |
| 14/1 $14 / 518 / 6$ 24/6 | 25/25 28/23 | 25/25 26/5 26/12 | 34/6 |
| 24/13 29/14 $29 / 18$ | forward [4] $25 / 14$ |  | I |
| factual ${ }_{\text {fail }}$ [1] ${ }^{[1]}$ 21/4 $46 / 20$ | 29/23 29/24 39/11 | $\begin{array}{\|cccc} 30 / 7 & 31 / 13 & \\ \text { quilt } & {[2]} & 30 / 21 \end{array}$ | identi |
| failing [1] $21 / 6$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ound } \\ & 37 / 25 \end{aligned}$ | g $37 / 21$ [2] 30/21 | $14 / 1418 / 422 / 6$ |
| fair [3] 13/6 13/7 | four [3] 16/10 17/4 | guilty | identi |
| $\left\|\begin{array}{ccc} 43 / 7 \\ \text { false [4] } & 6 / 9 & 14 / 14 \end{array}\right\|$ | 27/7 | 8/15 $8 / 24$ 9/3 $10 / 8$ | 16/10 |
| $\begin{array}{llll} \text { false } \\ 18 / 3 & {[4]} \end{array} \quad 6 / 9 \quad 14 / 14$ | four-7evel [1] Fourth | $\begin{array}{llll}14 / 6 & 18 / 12 & 19 / 21 \\ 25 / 1 & 25 / 5 & 25 / 6 & 26 / 4\end{array}$ | immediate [1] 34/25 |
| falsely [1] 6/9 | FPD [1] 1/17 | $30 / 19$ 33/13 $34 / 18$ | 14/17 14/24 35/25 |
| familiar [1] 27/18 | FPD-DC [1] $1 / 17$ | 36/4 $36 / 9 \quad 37 / 19$ | important [2] 3/8 |
| far [2] 4/12 $7 / 18$ | France [2] 7/4 | $37 / 25 \quad 38 / 5 \quad 43 / 8$ | 6/23 |
| FBI [1] 17/25 | 14/11 | 43/9 43/13 43/17 | impose [5] 20/15 |
| federa7 [8] 17/14 | French [4] 3/16 | 44/11 44/12 44/15 | 21/21 23/1 29/1 |
| 18/17 20/15 21/16 | 3/16 7/19 7/22 | 44/21 44/22 44/23 | 32/6 |
| 22/17 27/9 41/19 | Friday [4] 50/23 | 46/1 46/1 46/2 | imposed [3] 25/6 |
| 43/2 | 50/24 50/25 51/2 | 46/14 46/15 46/16 | 31/1 31/23 |
| felony [2] 2/10 | FRIEDMAN [19] 1/13 | 46/19 46/22 47/2 | imposing [2] 2/16 |
| 20/5 | 2/13 4/2 5/18 8/18 | 47/7 |  |
| FERRIER [36] 1/6 | 10/19 14/4 18/5 | gun [3] 16/19 18/3 | imprisonment |
| 3/4 3/19 4/15 5/4 | 18/7 18/9 20/19 | 22/5 | 19/22 20/16 $20 / 22$ |
| 5/12 5/18 5/21 5/23 | 32/10 35/4 42/11 | H | 20/24 21/12 21/20 |
| 6/4 7/3 7/18 8/23 | 44/24 45/21 46/8 | H | 30/15 31/19 |
| 9/19 10/20 10/22 | 47/10 48/4 | hand [2] 5/24 16/9 | in-person [3] 47/21 |
| 11/10 11/13 11/14 | FRIEDRICH [1] 1/10 | handwritten [4] | 47/22 47/25 |
| 12/9 14/6 18/6 | full [1] 13/23 | 15/4 15/13 17/6 | incarceration [2] |
| 18/23 19/14 20/21 | fully [1] 8/22 | 17/9 | 19/24 22/25 |
| $32 / 20$ 34/4 40/1 | fun [1] 51/2 | happened [1] 45/15 | included [3] 15/7 |
| 42/12 42/20 44/11 | fundamental [1] | happy [3] 44/3 44/5 | 15/14 15/22 |
| 45/1 46/11 46/17 | 9/13 | 44/7 | including [5] 2/7 |
| 47/14 49/9 | further [3] 17/21 | hard [3] 9/18 10/21 | 16/1 22/4 $37 / 4$ 38/6 |
| Ferrier's [3] 3/9 | 19/5 50/5 | 50/12 | incorrectly [1] |
|  | G | hashtag [1] |  |
| filed [2] 9/2 46/23 |  | hazardous [1] 17/17 | Indiana [1] 1/17 |
| final [2] 25/6 | gang [1] 16/23 | heard [1] 6/14 | indictment [12] |
| 49/21 | gave [1] 3/15 | hearing [5] 1/9 3/8 | 45/6 45/9 45/16 |
| find [9] 8/21 16/16 | generally [1] 9/11 | 23/19 29/6 35/15 | 45/19 45/23 45/25 |
| 30/12 $44 / 8$ 46/19 | gift [1] 16/14 | held [1] 14/19 | 46/3 46/7 46/12 |
| 46/20 46/21 47/2 | given [5] 4/5 41/16 | helpfut [1] 42/20 | 46/22 47/3 47/9 |
| 47/7 | 44/6 45/8 47/23 | hesitation [2] 10/7 | indictments [2] 8/7 |
| finding [1] 4/4 | giving [11] 9/12 | 10/10 | 36/6 |
| fine [7] 19/23 | 30/18 30/20 30/25 | Hidalgo [4] 14/20 | individual [2] |
| 20/15 23/1 28/15 | $31 / 22$ 34/17 43/8 | 15/9 15/10 16/23 | 15/14 16/5 |
| 39/19 40/16 42/7 | 43/12 43/17 50/3 | hiding [1] 11/6 | individuals [4] |
| fines [1] 20/1 | 50/18 | high [1] 27/14 | 15/4 15/7 16/9 |
| finished [1] 50/2 | goes [1] 5/18 | higher [1] 30/14 | 16/11 |
| firearm [4] 14/14 | good [1] 21/22 | history [2] 27/21 | ineffective |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}18 / 2 & 22 / 5 & 43 / 1\end{array}$ | government [21] | 28/4 | $\begin{array}{lll}30 / 22 & 31 / 2 & 31 / 25\end{array}$ |
| first [7] 12/7 | 1/13 4/8 9/12 11/6 | Hogan [1] 2/7 | information [1] |
| 24/19 $26 / 2127 / 7$ | $\begin{array}{llll}13 / 2 & 13 / 17 & 19 / 2\end{array}$ | hold [5] 12/6 12/9 | 24/9 |
| 34/20 44/17 50/6 | 20/16 22/2 22/18 | 12/21 37/15 42/25 | informed [3] 2/25 |
| five [4] 13/19 | 24/19 25/20 26/10 | homemade [2] 15/25 | 8/22 40/2 |
| 21/12 26/24 26/24 | 27/3 $29 / 2 \quad 29 / 17$ | 17/6 | informs [1] 50/9 |
| five-1evel [1] | 29/23 32/5 34/16 | Honor [20] 3/6 3/12 | ingredients [1] |
| 26/24 | 36/9 36/10 | 3/15 4/10 5/3 8/17 | 16/1 |
| flow [1] 24/18 | Government's | 11/9 14/7 18/22 | iniquitous [1] 9/12 |
| follow [2] 8/1 8/4 | 2/3 11/1 37/1 37/20 | 32/17 $39 / 22 \quad 40 / 11$ | initial [1] 39/24 |
| following [1] 20/23 | gravity [1] 47/24 | 47/19 48/2 48/5 | initially [2] 11/6 |
| For the Defendant [1] | great [1] 40/9 | 48/9 48/24 49/2 | 46/23 [2] |
| 1/1 | greater [1] 25/18 | 49/17 49/24 | injustice [2] 9/16 |
| force [1] 37/12 | guarantee [1] 49/11 | HONORABLE [1] $1 / 10$ | 9/2 |
| forced [1] 44/14 | guess [2] 39/7 42/6 | HOOK [3] 1/22 52/3 | innocent [2] 37/8 |
| foregoing [1] 52/4 | guideline [13] | $52 / 10$ | $37 / 20$ |
| forfeit [2] 22/2 | 27/13 27/15 27/22 | Hopkins [1] 48/ | instead [1] 8/2 |
| 22/10 | 27/23 28/2 $28 / 12$ | hours [2] 40/4 40/7 | instruct [1] 37/14 |
| forgotten [1] 11/12 | 28/16 28/17 28/22 | House [2] 15/16 | intended [2] 4/10 |
| form [2] 16/3 39/17 | 30/6 30/12 31/3 | 17/15 | $16 / 20$ |


| I | 7awyer [9] 12/17 | maximum [5] $19 / 22$ | 18/7 18/9 20/19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| intent [1] 18/19 |  | 30/14 | $\begin{array}{llll} \\ 44 / 24 & 45 / 21 & 46 / 8\end{array}$ |
| interest [1] 19/25 | 43/16 43/22 | may [12] $7 / 414 / 23$ | 47/10 48/4 |
| interpreter [5] 3/3 | lead [1] 17/2 | 32/5 32/11 42/21 | Mr. Hopkins [1] |
| $3 / 5 \quad 3 / 5 \quad 3 / 14 \quad 3 / 22$ | 7earn [1] 24/8 | $42 / 24 \quad 45 / 3 \quad 45 / 15$ | 48/18 |
| interview [2] 47/21 | 1east [7] 9/16 9/24 | 45/15 50/7 50/16 | Mr. Ohm [23] 3/3 |
| 47/25 [2] | 10/3 10/4 21/11 | 51/5 | 3/7 5/9 5/18 8/16 |
| interviewed [2] | 27/14 27/14 | May 24th [1] 14/23 | $\begin{array}{llll}10 / 22 & 11 / 4 & 12 / 4\end{array}$ |
| 29/7 47/16 | leftover [1] 16/2 | May 8th [1] 7/4 | 13/8 18/21 $26 / 8$ |
| into [5] 6/5 14/9 | $1 \mathrm{ega1} \mathrm{[1]} \mathrm{42/18}$ | Maybe [1] 50/14 | $\begin{array}{llll}26 / 10 & 26 / 13 & 29 / 11\end{array}$ |
| 22/24 26/16 51/5 | 1enient [2] 10/20 | mean [2] 39/6 42/10 | 32/16 40/10 40/20 |
| investigation [2] | 11/1 | means [3] 21/1 | 40/24 $43 / 16$ 47/12 |
| 17/15 17/20 | less [1] 21/21 | $21 / 1934 / 23$ | 47/17 48/6 48/8 |
| involving [1] 26/19 | 7etter [10] 16/9 | media [2] 15/18 | Ms. [34] 3/4 3/9 |
| issue [1] 20/18 | 16/13 16/15 16/15 | 15/21 | 3/19 $4 / 15$ 5/12 $5 / 18$ |
| issued [1] 35/11 | 16/16 16/19 16/25 | medication [1] 7/24 | 5/21 5/23 6/4 $7 / 3$ |
| items [1] 22/2 | 17/1 17/6 17/15 | member [1] 16/23 | 7/18 $8 / 15$ 8/21 8/23 |
| J | letters [3] 17/18 | memoranda [2] 49/19 | 9/19 10/20 10/22 |
| jammed [1] 50/16 | level [16] 26/19 | memorandum [1] | 11/10 $12 / 9$ 14/6 |
| January [1] 1/6 | 26/20 26/22 26/23 | 49/16 | 20/21 $32 / 20 \quad 34 / 4$ |
| JEFF [3] 1/22 52/3 | 26/24 27/4 27/7 | memos [2] 50/14 | 40/1 42/20 44/11 |
| 52/10 | 27/9 27/13 27/14 | 50/17 | 45/1 46/11 46/17 |
| jobs [1] 42/25 | 27/15 27/20 27/21 | mention [2] 19/14 | 47/14 49/9 |
| judge [3] 1/10 2/14 | 28/9 28/16 28/17 | 19/17 | Ms. Ferrier |
| 23/23 | 1ibrary [6] 40/5 | mentioned [1] 33/3 | 3/4 3/19 4/15 5/12 |
| judges [3] 2/6 2/6 | 40/6 40/7 40/22 | meticulousness [1] | 5/18 5/21 5/23 6/4 |
| 2/7 | 43/24 44/9 | 42/19 | 7/3 7/18 8/23 9/19 |
| judicial [1] 34/21 | life [5] 19/22 | MICHAEL [1] 1/13 | 10/20 10/22 11/10 |
| jurisdiction [1] | 19/24 27/23 30/15 | microphone [2] 6/5 | 12/9 14/6 18/6 |
| 18/17 | 31/19 | 14/9 | 18/23 19/14 20/21 |
| jurors [1] 36/11 | limited [1] 24/13 | middle [1] 48/16 | $32 / 20 \quad 34 / 4 \quad 40 / 1$ |
| jury [6] 37/14 | 1ist [4] 15/4 15/7 | might [7] 7/25 8/3 | 42/20 44/11 45/1 |
| 38/10 38/21 39/16 | 15/13 17/9 | 16/18 26/8 26/17 | 46/11 46/17 47/14 |
| 40/13 43/1 | listed [1] 15/15 | 29/3 35/5 | 49/9 |
| justified [1] 14/25 | 1ittle [3] 10/21 | minus [1] 28/1 | Ms. Ferrier's [3] |
| K | 23/23 24/4 | missed [1] 5/17 | 3/9 8/15 8/21 |
|  | 1oaded [2] 14/14 | Mission [3] 14/12 | much [3] 5/19 13/4 |
| eep [5] 6/5 13/2 | 18/2 [2] 14/14 | 15/8 16/21 |  |
| 25/1 25/14 33/23 | 1ocal [1] 43/2 | months [6] 14/18 | must [2] 21/4 29/25 |
| keeping [1] 13/5 | locations [1] 17/18 | 22/19 $25 / 19$ 28/3 | N |
| [1] $22 / 5$ |  | 32/24 33/5 |  |
| 1-Tec [1] 22/5 | $39 / 23$ | more [7] 7/12 24/1 | names [2] 15/7 |
| kept [1] 15/3 | longer [1] 14/25 | 26/24 40/11 40/25 | 15/14 |
| 11Trump [1] 15/23 | look [2] 13/19 51/3 | 50/17 51/4 | Nationality [1] |
| kind [1] 43/1 | looked [1] 2/4 | most [2] 10/20 11/1 | 36/1 |
| knives [2] 18/3 | looking [1] 49/23 | motions [1] 36/21 | need [7] 19/15 41/2 |
| 22/5 | looks [1] 48/17 | move [4] 36/22 41/2 | 47/25 50/11 $50 / 17$ |
| knowing [3] 18/13 | 1ot [14] 3/23 5/16 | 49/8 50/4 | 50/22 51/4 |
| 18/19 24/10 | 12/15 12/16 12/18 | moved [1] 49/10 | needed [3] 3/5 3/6 |
| knowingly [2] 4/5 | 24/1 $24 / 8$ 34/5 | Mr. [43] 2/13 $3 / 3$ | 3/23 |
| 41/21 | 40/23 40/24 44/1 | $3 / 74 / 2 \quad 5 / 9 \quad 5 / 18$ | neither [1] 28/21 |
| Kollar [1] 2/8 | 44/2 47/21 49/9 | $5 / 18$ 8/16 8/18 | New [1] 17/24 |
| Kollar-Kotelly [1] | lots [1] 12/24 | 10/19 10/22 11/4 | new7y [1] 31/25 |
| $2 / 8$ Kotelly [1] 2/8 | M | $\begin{array}{llll}12 / 4 & 13 / 8 & 14 / 4 & 18 / 5\end{array}$ | next [3] 14/18 17/3 |
| Kotelly [1] 2/8 |  | 18/7 18/9 18/21 | 47/14 |
| L | mailed [1] 17/9 | 20/19 26/8 $26 / 10$ | night |
|  | ng [2] 6/9 | 26/13 29/11 32/10 | 9 17/11 |
| 1anguage $7 / 14$ | ${ }_{\text {mandatory }}{ }_{\text {many }}{ }^{\text {a }}$ [1] $]^{19 / 24}$ | $32 / 16$ 35/4 40/10 | ninth [1] 15/14 |
| 7ast [8] 7/25 9/19 | March [1] 14/11 | 40/20 40/24 42/11 |  |
| 9/20 12/8 12/10 | March 12th [1] | $\begin{array}{llll}42 / 12 & 43 / 16 & 44 / 24 \\ 45 / 21 & 46 / 8 & 47 / 10\end{array}$ | non [1] 50/24 |
| 12/20 38/9 48/22 | 14/11 | 47/12 47/17 48/4 | non-COB [1] 50/24 |
| later [1] 7/13 | Maryland [1] 17/21 | 48/6 48/8 48/18 | None [1] 33/18 |
| 7aw [10] 2/6 14/12 | mass [1] 17/16 | Mr. Ferrier [1] | Normally [1] 50/15 |
| 14/13 $15 / 8 \quad 22 / 3$ | material [2] 16/2 | 42/12 | note [1] 47/20 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}37 / 19 & 40 / 4 \\ 40 / 22 & 44 / 9\end{array}$ | 17/17 | Mr. Friedman | noted [1] 13/1 |
| 40/22 44/9 | matter [2] 32/6 | 2/13 4/2 5/18 8/18 | notice [1] 35/15 |
| laws [1] 43/3 | $52 / 5$ | 10/19 14/4 18/5 | notified [1] 17/14 |


| N | $9 / 23$ $34 / 5$   <br> order $[12]$ $2 / 5$ $2 / 13$ | $44 / 11$   <br> pleas [8] $2 / 9$ $4 / 21$ | $41 / 19$ proceed [4] 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| number [15] 2/5 2/6 | 2/14 $31 / 14 \begin{array}{lll}3 / 25\end{array}$ | 5/10 6 6/13 $81 / 15$ 10/8 | p/14 $10 / 18$ 34/8 |
| 3/2/2/4 38/25 39/25 | $\begin{array}{llll}33 / 13 & 34 / 21 \quad 34 / 24\end{array}$ | 10/19 43/13 | proceeding [3] 5/10 |
| $41 / 13$ 41/24 42/7 | 35/1 35/10 35/16 | please [6] 4/21 | 10/7 36/15 |
| $42 / 1142 / 1347 / 3$ | 35/19 | 5/17 5/23 6/20 | proceedings [4] 8/1 |
| 47/4 47/4 47/7 | ordinance [1] 14/13 | 15/20 48/21 | $8 / 4 \quad 51 / 752 / 5$ |
| numbers [1] 39/18 | original [2] 11/18 | podium [1] 5/21 | process [1] 2/17 |
| $\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{NWW} & {[3]} \\ 1 / 24 & 1 / 14 & 1 / 17 \end{array}$ | 15/23 | point $[9]$ $2 / 14$ $3 / 20$ <br> $4 / 24$ $5 / 15$ $6 / 18$ $6 / 19$ | processing [1] $16 / 3$ |
|  | 16 | 24/1 33/16 34/6 |  |
| 0 | otherwise [1] 35 | pointed [2] 34/16 | 18 |
| oath [1] 6/8 | out [6] 34/16 39/21 | 42/12 | 8/25 9/4 |
| objection [1] 5/9 | 42/8 42/12 48/15 | pointing [1] 42/8 | 44/18 $46 / 13$ 46/25 |
| objections [1] | 50/4 | poison [2] 16/2 | promised [3] 23/6 |
| 36/25 | over [2] 3/10 19/12 | 16/16 | 23/9 33/ |
| obligat | own [1] 37/5 | police [3] 15/8 | promises [1] 33/11 |
|  | P | $15 / 8 \text { 16, }$ | pronunciation |
| occurred [1] 14/2 | page [7] 12/8 12/9 | possess [1] $43 / 1$ | proper [1] 11/10 |
| off [1] 11/2 | 12/11 12/11 12/20 | possession [2] 18/1 | propose [1] 2/20 |
| offense [12] 7/10 | 12/21 13/19 | 18/15 | proposed [2] 15/19 |
| 8/25 9/4 12/3 13/16 | pages [1] 26/15 | possible [4] 9/15 | 28/10 |
| 18/17 19/6 24/7 | paper [1] 41/12 | 9/23 21/21 30/7 | proposition [1] |
| 26/19 26/21 27/13 | paperwork [2] 3/24 | poster [1] 15/23 | 28/7 |
| 31/19 | 4/8 | posting [1] 15/21 | propounded [1] 5/25 |
| offenses [3] 14/6 | paragraphs [1] | potential [1] 48/10 | prosecute [1] 19/5 |
| 9/20 26/3 | 16/10 | powder [3] 16/3 | prosecuted [1] 6/8 |
| offer [1] 10/ | parole | 17/6 17/19 | prosecutor [1] 18/7 |
| offered [2] 11/1 | part [8] 2/17 4/6 | prepare [3] 29/7 | protect [1] 49/10 |
| 11/6 | 16/24 22/1 31/5 | 47/15 50 | [ |
| office [4] 19/3 | $31 / 7$ 34/20 35/14 | prepared [4] 2/2 | 35/24 |
| 9/4 29/7 47/15 | particularly [1] | 15/3 24/6 45/18 | proteins [1] 16/5 |
| officer [2] 29/7 | 3/17 | scribes [2] | prove [3] 13/17 |
| 29/15 | parties [4] 26/ | 18/13 18 | 36/9 37/20 |
| $\underset{15 / 9}{\text { officers [2] 14/12 }}$ | 26/20 27/6 32/8 | presence [1] 17/19 | provides [1] 34/10 |
| $\begin{array}{lll}\text { 15/9 } \\ \text { offices [1] } & 19 / 10\end{array}$ | parties' [2] 31/15 | present [5] 3/4 | provision [2] 2/5 |
| official $12 / 1 \quad 26 / 23] 52 / 3$ |  | 47/17 | PSR [4] 49/20 50/1 |
| officially [3] 3/1 | PASCALE [2] $1 / 6$ 5/4 | presentence [9] |  |
| $4 / 255 / 1$ [3] | $\left[\begin{array}{c} \text { pay } \\ 20 / 15 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 23 / 16 & 23 / 21 & 24 / 5 \\ 24 / 9 & 28 / 13 & 29 / 8 \end{array}$ | purposes $41 / 1745$ |
| officials [1] 17/24 | Peace [1] 17/23 | 47/16 47/19 47/21 | pursuant [6] 14/17 |
| OHM [24] $1 / 16$ 3/3 | penalties [2] 18/16 | president [5] 15/15 | 19/1 22/16 22/18 |
| $3 / 7$ 5/9 5/18 8/16 | 20/1 | 15/21 16/13 17/1 | 34/22 41/18 |
| 10/22 11/4 12/4 | pending [1] 14/16 | 17/4 | push [1] 51/5 |
| 13/8 18/21 26/8 | pepper [2] 18/3 | presumed [1] 37/8 | put [2] 37/4 37/7 |
| 26/10 26/13 29/11 | 22/5 | presumes [1] 37/19 |  |
| 32/16 40/10 40/20 | per [2] 20/5 21/22 | pretty [1] 3/17 | Q |
| 40/24 43/16 47/12 | period [2] 19/24 | preventing [1] 16/4 | Quebec [3] 15/2 |
| 47/17 48/6 48/8 | 20/23 | previously [1] 47/5 | 15/25 17/10 |
| old [1] 14/10 | perjury [1] 6/8 | primary [1] 4/17 | quote [4] 15/19 |
| once [2] 3/15 24/5 | pernicious [1] 9/12 | principal [1] 4/12 | 15/20 15/22 15/22 |
| one [ [12] 4/8 4/10 | person [5] 14/15 | print [2] 12/2 | R |
|  | 22/3 47/21 47/22 | 39/21 |  |
| 16/17 37/12 38/9 | 47/25 | printed [1] 11/25 | raise [1] 5/23 |
| 39/21 44/25 45/22 | piece [1] 41/11 | prior [2] 35/16 | range [17] 11/6 |
| 45/24 | placed [4] 6/7 | 49/19 | 26/12 27/23 27/23 |
| one's [1] 33/8 | 14/12 17/5 17/7 | prison [4] 21/2 | 28/2 28/6 28/9 |
| ones [1] 33/12 | Plaintiff [1] 1/4 | P1/5 $21 / 23$ 28/3 | 28/12 28/15 28/22 |
| only [6] 19/10 | plea [94] | privately [1] 6/21 | 29/24 30/6 30/12 |
| $32 / 24$ 33/19 38/16 | plead [16] 3/4 8/24 | proactive [1] 34/14 | $30 / 13$ 30/13 31/3 |
| 39/3 49/6 | $\begin{array}{llll} & 9 / 3 & 33 / 13 & 34 / 18\end{array}$ | probably [3] 2/3 | 31/12 |
| opened [1] 17/11 | 36/4 38/5 43/8 43/9 | 4/19 50/10 | rather [2] 2/6 |
| opportunity [5] | $44 / 15$ 44/17 44/21 | probation [5] 29/7 | 13/16 ${ }^{\text {read }}$ [11 8/10 |
| 24/25 29/6 29/10 | 45/24 46/5 46/11 | 29/15 47/15 47/20 | read [6] 4/11 8/10 |
| 29/13 29/18 | 46/19 | 48/14 | 12/13 12/24 13/22 |
| option [2] 24/20 | pleading [8] 2/12 |  | 45/12 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { 34/6 } \\ \text { options [3] } & \\ \end{array}$ | 14/6 18/12 19/20 | $48 / 3$ | reading [1] 45/16 |
| options [3] 9/15 | 26/4 30/19 43/17 | Procedure [2] 22/17 | realized [1] 45/6 |


| R | responses [1] responsibility [1] | $\left.\underset{22 / 3}{ } \begin{array}{ll} \text { seized } \end{array}\right]$ | simply [1] 24/12 <br> simultaneously [2] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| reason [4] | 27/4 | seizures [1] 36/22 | 4/21 5/10 |
| 39/11 49/6 51/4 | responsible [1] | sending [1] 40/24 | single [2] 19/15 |
| easonable [3] | 16/21 | sense [1] $34 / 1$ | 46/6 |
| $\begin{array}{ccc}13 / 17 & 36 / 10 & 37 / 21 \\ \text { receive } & \text { 1] } & 50 / 12\end{array}$ |  | sent [3] 17/20 21/2 | six [1] 27 |
| $\begin{array}{lr}\text { receive [1] } & 50 / 12 \\ \text { received [4] } & 3 / 23\end{array}$ | /25 20/1 $20 / 18$ |  | small [1] 21/21 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { received }[4] \quad 3 / 23 \\ & 8 / 617 / 11^{17 / 18} \end{aligned}$ | result [1] 7/10 | $\text { sentence }[34] \text { 2/15 }$ | $\underset{15 / 21}{\text { social }_{1}}\left[\begin{array}{ll} 2] \end{array} \quad 15 / 18\right.$ |
| recipe [1] 16/16 | return [2] 39/11 | $\begin{array}{lllll}9 / 19 & 9 / 20 & 13 / 5\end{array}$ | sodium [1] 22/11 |
| recipient [2] 16/14 | 49/11 | 19/22 21/21 22/19 | solemn7y [1] 5/2 |
| 16/20 | returned [1] 15/1 | 23/7 24/11 25/6 | somebody [1] 11/23 |
| recognize [1] 38/11 | review [2] 25/25 | 25/15 25/18 26/12 | someone [3] 11/19 |
| recommendation [4] | 28/13 | 28/10 $29 / 1129 / 25$ | 11/24 15/20 |
| 25/10 33/4 33/4 | reviewed [2] 23/15 | $\begin{array}{llll}30 / 5 & 30 / 12 & 30 / 14\end{array}$ | sorry [5] 7/21 9/19 |
| 33/25 | 23/21 | $31 / 131 / 18$ 31/23 | 23/11 28/1 |
| recommendations [1] | ricin [8] 15/25 | $\begin{array}{lllll}32 / 6 & 33 / 9 & 33 / 19\end{array}$ | Southern [13] 3/24 |
| 31/16 | 16/1 16/3 16/4 16/6 | 34/9 34/24 35/6 | 5/7 6/15 9/7 19/4 |
| recommended [1] | 17/6 17/19 18/1 | 35/8 41/2 | 20/7 21/11 32 |
| 23/7 | right [41] 4/13 | sentenced [2] 20/22 | 41/14 44/20 45/9 |
| record [3] 38/25 | 4/19 5/2 5/24 6/21 | 21/20 | 46/24 47 |
| 39/24 52/5 | 6/22 11/18 12/16 | sentences [1] 31/3 | speak [4] 5/17 6/5 |
| reduction [2] 21/21 | 13/2 13/5 20/9 | sentencing [18] | 7/17 14/8 |
|  | 24/12 26/1 28/2 | 2/19 2/21 13/3 | speaking [1] 7/14 |
| referred [1] 16/13 | $30 / 25$ 31/22 $32 / 5$ | 25/22 25/23 26/4 | special [4] 16/13 |
| reflected [1] 33/12 |  | 26/12 $27 / 15$ 29/24 | 17/16 17/20 20/5 |
| reject [8] 4/15 | $35 / 15$ 35/19 $36 / 5$ | $30 / 8 \quad 33 / 25 \quad 39 / 3$ | specific [2] 19/13 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}23 / 13 & 24 / 17 & 24 / 24\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}36 / 14 & 36 / 17 & 37 / 4\end{array}$ | 41/17 $48 / 749 / 16$ | 19/13 |
| 25/13 29/22 33/18 | $37 / 13$ 37/25 38/5 | 49/19 50/4 50/11 | Specifically [1] |
| 34/3 | 38/21 39/9 40/16 | separately [2] 3/11 | 26/18 |
| release [4] 19/1 | 41/1 41/4 41/22 | 4/22 | specify [3] 9/9 |
| 20/23 21/2 23/1 | 42/24 42/25 42/25 | September [8] 15/3 | 30/17 32/23 |
| released [2] 2/19 | 43/1 48/1 50/8 | 15/17 15/24 $16 / 8$ | Speedy [1] 49/7 |
| 14/22 | rights [13] 6/17 | 17/12 17/13 17/22 | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { spent [2] } & 40 / 4 & 40 / 7\end{array}$ |
| relief [1] 35/24 | 9/14 30/18 30/20 | 22/4 | spray [2] 18/3 22/6 |
| remain [1] 37/13 | $34 / 16$ 34/18 35/23 | September 14th [1] | stage [1] 36/15 |
| remind [1] 4/2 | 38/6 42/22 43/8 | 17/12 | stamp [1] 17/7 |
| removal [9] $2 / 5$ | 43/12 $43 / 17 \quad 46 / 18$ | September 18th [1] | stand [1] 10/7 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}2 / 14 & 34 / 22 & 34 / 24\end{array}$ | rip [1] 34/8 | 17/13 | start [2] 12/7 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}35 / 7 & 35 / 10 & 35 / 16\end{array}$ | Room [1] 1/24 | September 20 | 29/25 |
| 35/20 35/24 | rounds [1] 18/2 | 15/3 15/24 16/8 | state [7] 14/16 |
| remove [1] 17/4 | ruined [1] 17/2 | September 20th [2] | $\begin{array}{lllll}15 / 5 & 16 / 12 & 17 / 12\end{array}$ |
| repeat [1] 31/10 | rule [5] 3/24 4/8 | 17/22 22/4 | 17/21 43/2 47/10 |
| replied [1] 17/25 | 22/17 39/10 41/18 | September 9th [1] | stated [9] 5/16 |
| report [8] 23/16 | ru7es [4] 21/4 21/7 | 15/17 | $13 / 25 \quad 16 / 15 \quad 17 / 2$ |
| 23/21 24/5 24/9 | 22/17 41/19 | series [1] 6/12 | 18/7 24/7 26/11 |
| 28/13 29/8 47/16 | run [2] 32/25 33/5 | serve [3] 21/5 | 32/9 32/20 |
| 47/19 | S | 21/20 42/2 | statement [6] 6/9 |
| reported [1] 29/14 | S | Service [1] 17/14 |  |
| reporter [4] $1 / 236 / 652 / 31 / 22$ | safety [1] 17/21 | services [1] 43/21 | 19/6 24/7 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}1 / 23 & 6 / 6 & 52 / 3 \\ \text { repren }\end{array}$ | sake [1] 4/9 | set [4] 19/5 25/25 | statements [2] 12/3 |
| $\underset{38 / 2}{\text { represent [2] 10/19 }}$ | same [2] 12/19 42/8 | 28/22 49/5 3/12 | 36/22 [21] 1/1 $1 / 3$ |
| 38/2 ${ }_{\text {representations }}$ | satisfied [2] 43/21 46/17 | Several $[1]$ <br> sheriff $3 / 12$ <br> 4$]$ $15 / 10$ | $\text { states }[21] \text { 1/1 } 1 / 3$ |
| 8/21 | saving [1] 44/4 | 15/11 16/22 16/23 | $\begin{array}{llll} 1 / 4 & 9 / 1 & 9 / 5 & 13 / 5 \end{array}$ |
| request [1] 48/18 | saw [2] 2/6 13/1 | shoot [1] 15/20 | 17/10 $17 / 1419 / 3$ |
| requesting [2] | saying [2] 3/21 | showing [1] 38/9 | 21/16 22/24 30/8 |
| 47/21 48/20 |  | shows [1] 24/5 | 34/22 44/19 46/14 |
| require [1] 37/5 | scenario [1] 30 | side [3] 4/20 8/14 | 46/23 47/1 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { required } \\ 36 / 4 & \text { [3] }\end{array}$ | school [1] 7/18 | $50 / 23$ | statutory [1] 30/14 |
| 36/4 37/7 | searches [1] 36/21 | sign [1] 9/10 | step [1] 47/15 |
| research [1] 40/22 | second [3] 24/24 |  | stil1 [1] 43/9 |
| resided [1] 15/2 | 26/22 27/8 | $12 / 22 \quad 13 / 2041 / 12$ | stipulated [3] 2/4 |
| residence [3] 15/4 | Secret [1] 17/14 | signed [8] 4/8 | 34/21 35/19 |
| 15/25 22/12 | section [8] 9/1 9/6 | $\begin{array}{llll}11 / 21 & 38 / 13 & 38 / 15\end{array}$ | stockpile [1] 18/14 |
| respect [6] 8/25 | $\begin{array}{llll}18 / 18 & 30 / 9 & 34 / 22\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}10 / 13 & 41 / 22 & 42 / 6\end{array}$ | Street [1] 1/14 |
| 9/4 19/21 44/18 | 44/20 46/14 47/1 | 45/5 | struggled [2] 31/6 |
| 46/13 46/25 | seek [2] 28/22 | signing [1] 12/14 |  |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { respond [2] } \\ 50 / 22 \end{gathered} \quad 10 / 21\right.$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 35 / 23 \\ \text { seem [1] } & 11 / 5 \end{array}$ | silent [1] $37 / 14$ <br> similar [1] $2 / 8$ | $\begin{array}{lll}\text { studied [1] } \\ \text { stuff [1] } & 33 / 14\end{array}$ |


| S | $\begin{array}{cc} 17 / 1 \\ \text { therefore [1] } & 46 / 21 \end{array}$ | Twitter [2] $15 / 19$ 15/18 | $15 / 12$ $16 / 18$ <br> valuable  <br> vi] $42 / 21$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\text { stun [2] } 18 / 3 \quad 22 / 5$ | third [1] 26/23 | two [14] 6/13 6/15 | various [4] 10/19 |
| subject [4] 20/23 | though [1] 4/4 | $\begin{array}{lll}7 / 25 & 10 / 25 & 14 / 18\end{array}$ | 13/16 17/18 43/2 |
| 21/3 26/4 40/8 | threatened [1] | 18/2 $20 / 6 \quad 22 / 5$ | vehicle [1] 22/3 |
| subpoena [1] 37/5 | 44/14 | 26/22 27/7 32/9 | venue [1] $41 / 5$ |
| subsequently [2] | three [12] 14/19 | 33/5 49/6 49/19 | victim [1] 26/23 |
| 2/19 15/1 | 15/8 16/10 $26 / 15$ | two-1evel [1] 26/22 | view [1] 11/1 |
| substance [1] 32/9 | 26/20 26/23 27/4 | typically [1] 11/24 | VIII [1] 34/22 |
| substantial [1] | $28 / 146 / 646 / 12$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { tyrant } \\ 16 / 20 \end{array}$ | violating [1] $14 / 13$ |
| substantive [1] | three-level [2] | tyrants [2] 16/21 | 12 44/19 |
|  | 26/23 27/4 |  |  |
| sufficient [3] <br> 20/15 45/21 48/15 | throughout [1] 36/14 | U | oice [1] 6 |
| suggest [1] 39/15 | timely [1] 20/1 | U.S [7] 1/23 7/6 | 41/21 46/20 |
| suggested [1] 11/8 | times [5] 3/12 | 17/3 19/3 22/2 $26 / 4$ | voluntary [1] 6/18 |
| Suite [1] 1/17 | 11/22 12/15 12/16 | 42/23 | vote [1] 42/25 |
| summarize [2] 14/5 | 12/18 | U. S.C [3] 16/6 16/7 | W |
| summary [1] 24/6 | 30/8 34/22 44/19 | ugly [2] 15/22 | waive [9] 4/13 9/13 |
| superseding [5 | 46/14 47/1 |  | 35/15 35/18 |
| 46/7 46/9 46/12 | today [5] 6/10 14/6 | u7timately [1] 25/6 | 38/5 38/21 41/1 |
| 47/2 47/9 | 30/18 34/19 40/3 | unanimously | 45/15 |
| supervised [2] | told [1] 11/11 | 36/11 | waive the [1] 41/1 |
| 19/23 20/23 | ton [1] 49/4 | under [15] 4/15 6/8 | waived [1] 41/22 |
| supervision [2] | took [1] 9/10 | 14/12 16/21 18/18 | waiver [4] 32/12 |
| 20/16 21/3 | top [3] 5/19 27/15 | $\begin{array}{llll}28 / 7 & 28 / 10 & 30 / 7\end{array}$ | 38/10 39/16 40/13 |
| support [1] 14/5 | 33/6 | $\begin{array}{lllll}30 / 8 & 30 / 19 & 30 / 24\end{array}$ | waiving [3] 40/16 |
| suppress [1] 36/22 | toxicity [1] 16/4 | 31/21 32/3 35/25 | 41/5 46/18 |
| sure [14] $4 / 23$ 6/13 | toxin [7] 15/25 | 39/10 | warden [4] 15/11 |
| 6/17 $6 / 17$ 12/5 14/8 | 16/1 16/6 17/6 | understands [1] | 15/12 16/17 16/22 |
| 21/19 24/18 28/6 | 17/19 18/15 26/22 | 46/18 | Washington [6] 1/6 |
| 39/1 42/9 44/25 | toxins [1] 26/19 | understood [3] 10/6 | 1/15 1/18 $1 / 25$ |
| 45/8 48/14 | transcribe [1] 6/6 | 34/15 47/25 | 15/16 17/13 |
| swear [2] 5/22 5/24 | transcript [2] 1/9 | unfair [2] 9/11 | waste [1] 16/2 |
| system [4] 9/17 <br> 9/25 16/24 18/15 | 52/4 | 23/23 [20] 1/1 $1 / 3$ | way [4] 3/10 10/24 |
| $\begin{array}{lll} 9 / 25 & 16 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | transfer [6] 18/14 | UNITED [20] 1/1 1/3 | 32/23 41/23 |
| T | 39/2 41/13 41/16 | 1/10 $2 / 204 / 13$ 5/4 | weapon [1] 18/16 |
|  | 42/3 45/5 | 9/1 9 9/5 13/5 17/10 | weapons [7] 8/25 |
| table [1] $27 / 17$  <br> talk $[6]$ $6 / 14$ | transferred [7] 3/1 | 17/14 19/3 21/16 | 9/5 17/16 19/21 |
| talk [6] 6/14 6/20 | 4/14 5/7 6/15 9/7 | 22/24 30/8 34/22 | 44/19 46/13 46/25 |
| 6/21 40/19 43/15 | 39/6 39/7 | $44 / 19$ 46/14 46/23 | Wednesday [3] 48/18 |
| 48/10 | translation [1] | 47/1 | 48/20 48/22 |
| talked [1] 10/15 | 3/16 | units [1] 26/24 | week [5] 48/22 |
| Tec [1] 22/5 | transmission [1] | unlawfu7 [1] 26/19 | 49/23 49/24 50/6 |
| term [9] 16/6 19/15 | 47/20 | un1ess [1] 37/18 | 50/15 |
| 19/16 $19 / 23$ 20/22 | transmitted [1] | up [23] 5/17 5/21 | weekend [1] 51/3 |
| 20/24 21/20 22/25 | 11/22 | $\begin{array}{ll}6 / 5 & 7 / 18 \\ 12 / 6 & 12 / 9\end{array}$ | weeks [1] 49/19 |
| 22/25 | trial [24] 8/24 | 12/21 17/4 $21 / 12$ | weighed [2] 9/14 |
| terms [3] 19/13 | $\begin{array}{llll}13 / 18 & 25 / 5 & 32 / 12\end{array}$ | 25/7 27/3 27/21 | 9/23 |
| 26/2 39/16 | $36 / 5 \quad 36 / 9 \quad 36 / 15$ | $\begin{array}{lll}30 / 18 & 30 / 20 & 30 / 25\end{array}$ | what's [5] 5/19 |
| terrorism [2] 27/9 | $\begin{array}{llll}36 / 25 & 37 / 11 & 37 / 14\end{array}$ | $31 / 18$ 31/22 $34 / 8$ | 11/10 21/22 $24 / 7$ |
| 27/22 | 37/22 37/25 38/5 | 34/17 43/8 43/12 | 48/22 |
| testify [4] 6/9 | 38/7 $38 / 10 \quad 38 / 21$ | 43/17 49/5 | White [2] 15/16 |
| 37/6 37/11 37/13 | 39/3 39/4 $39 / 5$ | upon [2] 21/2 35/5 | 17/15 |
| testing [1] 17/21 | 39/12 39/16 40/13 | USA [1] 17/2 | willing [1] 4/22 |
| Texas [30] 2/25 | 49/7 50/8 | USAO [1] 1/14 | wish [5] 8/24 9/3 |
| 3/24 4/14 5/8 6/16 | trials [2] 49/5 | USAO-DC [1] 1/14 | 13/11 29/11 47/17 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllllllll} & 9 / 6 & 12 / 20 & 14 / 13\end{array}$ | 49/9 | use [4] 3/5 16/18 | wished [1] 37/6 |
| 14/16 14/20 15/6 | true [3] 14/1 18/7 | 18/16 26/22 | withdraw [3] 24/20 |
| 16/12 17/13 $19 / 4$ | 52/4 | used [2] 15/17 | 24/25 25/4 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}20 / 7 & 32 / 4 & 38 / 17\end{array}$ | tru7y [1] 5/25 | 15/23 | withdrawn [1] 39/10 |
| 39/12 40/17 41/4 | Trump [6] 15/15 | user [1] 15/19 | within [2] 25/15 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}41 / 8 & 41 / 14 & 41 / 25\end{array}$ | 15/20 15/22 16/13 | using [1] 16/1 | 30/12 |
| 42/1 42/13 44/20 | 16/21 17/1 | usually [1] 49/22 | without [1] |
| 45/9 46/24 47/6 | truncheon [1] 18/3 | V | witnesses [2] 37/1 |
| Texas [ [1] 21/11 | try [2] 49/6 49/10 |  | 37/5 |
| then-President [4] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { turn [1] } 12 / 8 \\ & \text { turning [1] } 12 / 20 \end{aligned}$ | valid [1] $6 / 23$ <br> valle [3] $14 / 21$ | $\begin{array}{lll} \begin{array}{l} \text { wondering } \\ \text { work } \end{array} \text { [2] } & \text { 16/15 } & 38 / 20 \\ \hline 9 / 1 \end{array}$ |


| W |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Writing $[1]$ $41 / 13$ <br> written $[4]$ $8 / 7$ <br> 11/24 $41 / 24$ $45 / 6$ <br> wrong $2]$ $47 / 4$ <br> wrote [1] $16 / 8$ |  |  |
| Y |  |  |
| year [3] $7 / 2 \quad 14 / 10$ $21 / 22$ years [4] $21 / 17 / 4$ York [1] $22 / 20 \quad 17 / 25 / 19$ York |  |  |

